

129

Sharon Bridgewater
1524 Harvest Lane
Superior Township, MI 48198

1-734-604-4204

In Pro Se

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Case:2:12-cv-15423
Judge: Cohn, Avern
MJ: Majzoub, Mona K.
Filed: 12-10-2012 At 04:40 PM
CMP USA EX REL BRIDGEWATER V. HOLDE
R ET AL (DA)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
(with and/or without the United States)
ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney
General" and/or individually and/or on behalf
of the (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C.
A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty
Global Investments Inc., a dissolved
Nevada Corporation), Bridgewater & Company
Inc. a California Corporation

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

Eric Holder Jr.,
In his individual capacity and/or Official
capacity as the United States Attorney General
Defendants JOHN DOE 1 are unknown
employees of the Executive Branch. They are
sued in their current or former individual
capacities
Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents
of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI
They are sued in their current or former
individual capacities.

**COMPLAINT FOR RACKETEERED
INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT
ORGANIZATION(RICO);**
For retaliating against federal witness,
victim, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1513; a
other predicate acts: 18 U.S.C. § 1510; 18
U.S.C. § 1343; 18 U.S.C. § 1341; 18 USC §
1512, 18 U.S.C. § 1503; 18 U.S.C. § 1509;
18 U.S.C. § 1951; 18 USC § 2314.
**MALICIOUS PROSECUTION
CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE RIGHT 42
USC 1985(3)/1983 (RETALITORY
PROSECUTION, CONSPIRACY TO
DEFRAUD, CONSPIRACY TO
FRAUDULANTLY CONCEAL
FRAUDULANT CONCEALMENT.
UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES, UNFAIR
COMPETITION, VIOLATIONS OF THE
CLAYTON ACT, SECTION 1 SECTION 2,
SHERMAN ACT SECTION 1, SECTION 2
ILLEGAL MONOPOLY, CONSPIRACY
TO MONOPOLIZE
CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN
COMMERCE
ILLEGAL RESTRAINT IN COMMERCE
CAUSE OF ACTION FOR
CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD
INTENTIONAL MISPRESENTATIONS
FRAUDULANT INDUCEMENT**

Assistant Attorney General Lanny Breuer,
Acting Deputy Attorney General Gary Grindler,,
Deputy Assistant Attorney General Jason
Weinstein

Associate Deputy Attorney General Ed Siskel
Defendants JOHN DOE 3 are unknown
Assistant United States Attorney General(s).
They are sued in their current or former
individual capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 4 are unknown US
"State" Attorney (ies) General(s) and they are
sued in their individual current or
former capacities.

Defendants JOHN DOE 5 are unknown
employees of the U.S. Department of Justice.
They are sued in their current or former
individual capacities.

Saundra Brown Armstrong, a United States
Federal District Court Federal Judge for the
Northern District of California

Is sued in her individual capacity

Claudia Wilkins, a United States Federal District
Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of
California Is sued in her individual capacity

Defendants JOHN DOE 6 are unknown
employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern
District of California, Oakland, and Division.
They are sued in their current or former
individual capacities

Orinda D. Evans, a United States Federal
District Court Federal Judge for the Northern
District of Georgia Is sued in her individual
capacity

Allen Baverman, a United States Federal
District Court Magistrate Federal Judge for the
Northern District of Georgia Is sued in his
individual capacity

Defendants JOHN DOE 7 are unknown
employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern
District of Georgia. They are sued in their
current or former individual capacities

Shawn Donovan,

Is sued in his current or former individual
capacity As the Director of the United States
Housing and Urban Development

Defendants JOHN DOE 8 is the unknown

FRAUD PROMISE WITH THE INTENT
NOT TO PERFORM
CONVERSION
FRAUDULANT CONVEYENCE
BIVENS VS. SIX FBI
AGENTS(RETAILATORY PROSECUTION
NEGLIENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL
DISTRESS
PUNATIVE DAMAGES

**SUPPLIMENTAL CRIMINAL
PLEADING FILED UNDER SEAL
(FEDERAL FALSE
CLAIMS)ATTACHED AS EXH. A**

**CERTIFIED MAIL# _____
TO ERIC HOLDER**

**FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH
EX-PARTE APPLICATION FOR
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION, EXPIDENT
DISCOVERY, APPOINTMENT OF
RECEIVER, AND OTHER INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF WITH MEM AND POINTS OF
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF

1 Director of the San Francisco Housing
 2 Authority He or she is sued in her/his individual
 3 and/or official current or former capacity as
 4 Director of the San Francisco Housing
 5 Authority

Defendants JOHN DOE 9 is unknown
 employee of the San Francisco Housing
 Authority. He is sued in his official and/or
 individual current or former capacity
 Hayes Valley Limited Partnership
 (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.),
 McCormack Baron Ragan Management
 Services Inc.

MBA Urban Development Co.,
 The Related Companies of California, Inc.
 Sunamerica Affordable Housing
 Partnership Inc.,

Hasinah Rahim,

Shawn Bankson,

Jane Creason,

Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP,

Jo-Lynne Q. Lee, is sued in her

Individual and official capacity as the
 Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,
 Roger Tonna,

Mary Tonna,

William Gilg,

Defendants JOHN DOE 10 is the unknown
 Director of the Alameda County Housing
 Authority, He or She is sued in his/her
 individual and official current or former
 capacity as Director of the Alameda County
 Housing Authority

Defendants JOHN DOE 11 is the unknown
 Supervisor of the Alameda County Housing
 Authority, She is sued in his/her individual and
 official current or former capacity.

Defendant JOHN DOE 12 is Unknown”
 Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia
 in his/her individual and official “former”
 capacity

Defendants Terrell Bolton “former” Chief of
 Police of DeKalb County, Georgia
 He is sued in his individual and official “former
 capacity as Chief of Police of
 Dekalb County

1 Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491,
 2 Individually and in her official and/or “former”
 3 capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb
 County, GA Police Department
 Officer Franklin
 Individually and in his/her official and/or
 5 “former” capacity as a DeKalb County, GA
 Police Officer
 6 Detective George
 Individually and in her official and/or “former”
 7 capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA
 Police Department
 8 Lieutenant Hamilton
 Individually and in his/her official and/or
 9 “former” capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb
 10 GA County Police Department
 Defendants JOHN DOE 13 are unknown
 DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their
 12 individual and official and/or “former”
 capacities.
 13 Randy Rich,
 Individually and in his official capacity as the
 14 Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County
 Lucas O. Harsh,
 15 Rosanna Szabo
 Individually and in her official and/or “former”
 16 capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor
 Officer Hardin “former” Police Officer of the
 17 Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department
 Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown
 18 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their
 19 individual and official and/or “former”
 20 capacities.
 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 is unknown
 21 Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is
 22 sued in his/her current or “former” capacity as
 23 Chief of Police
 Officer Caldwell
 24 Individually and in his official and/or “former”
 25 capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett
 County, GA Police or Sherriff Department
 26 Defendants JOHN DOE 16 is unknown “
 Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA
 27 Police or Sherriff Department
 28 He/She is sued in his/her individual and official
 or “former “capacity

1 Defendant JOHN DOE 17 are Executive
2 Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors,
3 of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in
4 their official current or "former" capacities
5 Defendant Victoria Roberts, is sued in her
6 individual capacity As United States Eastern
7 District Court Judge of Michigan along with
8 unknown employees of the United States
9 Eastern District Court of Michigan
10 Washtenaw County Sherriff Department
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

And Does John Does 23 thru 1000 inclusive

PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION AND VENUE, AND COMMERCE

PARTIES, RICO PERSONS, JURISDICTION, VENUE AND COMMERCE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970, 18 U.S.C. Sections 1961 and/or 28 Section 1343 (1)and/or(2)and/or(3)and/or(4) and/or under Title 28 Sections 1331 and/or 1332 and/or 1335 and/or 1357 and/or 1406 and/or 1441 and/or 1603 and/or for 18 USC sections 1981 and/or 1982 and/or 1983/1985 and/or 1986. And/or 42 U.S.C. § 3604, and/or the Americans with Disabilities act.

Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) and/or(b) Victoria Roberts, and Defendants JOHN DOE 18 unknown Executive Director of Washtenaw County, Michigan in his/her individual and official capacity; and (a) other defendants resides, is found, has an agent or transacts their affairs in the Eastern District of the State of Michigan and/or in the District of Columbia, Washington and; (b)The acts and occurrences in furtherance of the alledged herein arose in this Eastern District of Michigan and/or the District of Columbia, Washington D.C. and the ends of justice require that other parties residing in other Districts be brought before this District Court to be summoned, processed, by the United States Marshal.

PLAINTIFFS

PLAINTIFFS

The Plaintiffs **The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** move this Federal Court on behalf of the United States of America *ex relatione* "Sharon Bridgewater" and as an "private attorney general" to vindicate a policy that Congress considers to be of the highest priority and for injunctive relief. The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater certifies that the case is of general public importance and serve as "private attorneys general" to enforce the civil rights laws.

The Plaintiffs the United States of America claim a right to relief for injuries arising from the same occurrences or transactions, and and have been damaged by the above defendants.

I I Sharon Bridgewater is a citizen is a citizen of the United States, and is a citizen of Michigan. I Specialty Investment Group LLC was established in 2004 and Specialty Global Investments Incorporated was established in 2009 both companies was dutily licensed under Georgia, and Nevada, and includes a individuals, or a group of individuals associated in fact, joint ventures, of Real Estate Professional which the Plaintiff Solar Energy. Bridgewater & Company Inc. was

1 establish in 2009 dutily licensed under California, and is a Real Estate Company which involves
2 a variety of services, open to the general public. nature of commerce – Real Estate.

3
4 Specialty Investment Group LLC “and Specialty Global Investments INC. is currently dissolved
5 companies, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, or Nevada with its
6 principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State of Nevada. The Plaintiff
7 is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and the chief
8 Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC. The Plaintiff and authorized to handle all
9 the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty Global Investment.
10 Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son’s company. The Plaintiff is an Officers of
11 Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with
12 its principal office and place of business in State of State of California. James S. Bridgewater is
13 the owner and operator of Bridgewater and Company and have an interest in this complaint as
14 well. James is in Califonria, and I am in Michigan. The Plaintiff is an Officer and authorize to
15 sue on behalf of Bridgewater and Company INC.

16
17 The Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater is authorized to manage and handle and conduct all the
18 Businesses listed in this complaint and/or to sue on behalf for the Specialty Investment Group
19 LLC, Specialty Global Investment INC. The Plaintiff is an officer of Bridgewater and Company
20 Inc. and is authorized to sue on behalf of these companies.

21
22 The Plaintiffs lost their ability to earn a living due to conspiracies of the above named
23 Defendants, deprivation of the Plaintiffs property, violence against the Plaintiffs(WOMEN
24 minority business owners), oppression, unfair business practices, unfair competition,
25 conspiracies to restrain commerce, acts of threats, extortion, coercion, force, assault and battery,
26 upsuration of business(es) The Specialty Investment Group LLC dissolved in Sept. 2010, due to
27 the “repeated” conspiracies and/or unlawful attacks to restrain commerce, unfair competition,
28 unfair business practices, repeated, continual violation of the Specialty Investment Group LLC
and/or the Plaintiff’s United States Constitutional violations civil rights by the above named
Defendants. illegal overt acts by the United States Government the Plaintiff has direct and/or
indirectly proximate cause of the Plainiff’s mental and emotional instabilty and social security,
oppression, deprivation of business and personal property, theft, and anti-competitive conduct of
one or more of the Defendants.receptant of from the. (Specialty Investment Group LLC a Real
Estate Company dissolved Georgia Company)who suffered loss of business and personal
property resulting in the continual emotional and mental distress suffered by the Plaintiff and
inability to operate business, conduct, which violates both federal antitrust laws.

1 The Plaintiff are individuals, associations, partnerships, corporations, Public and Private
 2 partnerships, or other legal entity, or a union or group of individuals associated in fact.”,
 3 Partnerships, Companies of the United States Government, Federal, State and local Employees, a
 union or group of individuals, associated together in fact, and/or informal association of
 individuals and/or minority small business owners and/or individuals as defined in enterprized
 5 associated with the enterprise, is engaged in interstate (*or foreign*) commerce directly engaged in
 the production, distribution, or acquisition of services, money, goods, or other property in
 6 interstate (*foreign*) commerce Specialty Investment Group LLC was established in 2004, and and
 nature trade of commerce “Real Estate.” **The Nature of Trade and Commerce;** Real Estate
 7 **owners and** constitute an enterprise,” enterprise that as defined in 1961(4).

8
 9 The Plainiffs Specalty investment Group Specialty Investment Group LLC “and Specialty
 Global Investments INC. dissolved companies, organized and existing under the laws of the
 10 State of Georgia, with its principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State
 of Nevada. The Plaintiff is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment
 11 Group LLC, and the chief Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC.. The Plaintiff
 and authorized to handle all the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty
 12 Global Investment. Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son’s company. The
 Plaintiff is an Officers of Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the
 13 State of California, with its principal office and place of business in State of State of California.
 The Plaintiff is authorize to sue on behalf of Bridgewater and Company INC.
 14
 15
 16

17 **RICO PERSONS – ENTERPRIZE(S)[DEFENDANTS]**

18 **[RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)**

19 **RICO PERSONS -DEFENDANTS** 20 **RICO TITLE 18 UNITED STATES CODE § 1961(3)]**

21
 22 All were private contractors, State of Georgia and/or Gwinnett County Detention Center,
 23 Professional Probaton Services, Lance Towing, Nature Trade of Commerce; Corrections and/or
 Law enforcement, and/or Real Estate who were associalte in facts, employees, hold legal or
 24 equitable in property and interest in the United States Government, and each is a “person,” as
 that term is defined pursuant to Section 1961(3) of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt
 25 Organizations Act of 1970 [“RICO”]
 26 .
 27
 28

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

This case is about a “criminal enterprise” and/or grossly dysfunctional, United States Government operated by Obama and/or Holder conspiracies to defraud the US Government and/or other US Citizens and/or the Plaintiffs out of money and property, defeating the lawful function of US Government, and their abuse of US Government Power, use of threats, coercion, and/or force, obstruction of Justice,

The objective of the racketeering *enterprise* was to halt the ability of the Plaintiff to report the crimes of the Defendants.

The Defendants continuing illegal criminal acts are a pattern and practices, millions of US citizen lives are at stake, as well as our national security. The Defendants must be restrained and enjoined immediately, and the RICO Organization must be dissolve. The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater have no other adequate remedies at law.

MOTION TO TRANFER AND CONSOLIDATE CASE AND/OR RELATE CASE

MOTION TO TRANFER AND CONSOLIDATE CASE AND/OR RELATE CASE

The Plaintiff files this complaint in this federal district court on an emergency basis. The Plaintiff is due to be arraigned on criminal charges of resisting arrest. The Defendants are “barred” from criminal prosecution of the Plaintiffs.[The Plaintiffs filed three or four complaints in this US Federal District Court against Holder et, al, before these criminal charges were filed against the Plaintiff; the complaints were dismissed for various reasons. Holder et al at all times have a personal stake in the criminal prosecution of the Plaintiff. The law provides that a Defendant can not criminal prosecute if they have a personal stake in the prosecution of a Defendant]. This complaint is incomplete, it is lenthly, dates and times are missing because the Plaintiffs files this on an emergency basis, and this complaint needs to be amended. This complaint is accompanied by a “exparte emergency temporary restraining order,” barring the criminal prosecution of the Plaintiffs.[TODAY]

1 This complaint also stems back from 1997 and/or thru 2008 and thru today date. San Francisco
2 case number(cite) August 2008. Plaintiffs request this court to consolidate and/or relate all cases
3 pending before these Judges.

4 The Plaintiffs complains of fast and furious to establish "two or more" predicate acts by Obama
5 and/or Holder, within a ten year period. This is an overlapping conspiracy. The Supreme Court
6 has repeatedly held that the United States is not bound by a statute of limitations or subject to the
7 defense of laches when it brings a lawsuit in its sovereign capacity "The United States of
8 America to enforce a public right or to protect the public's interest. Utah Power & Light Co. v.
9 United States, 243 U.S. 389, 409 (1917) A neglect of duty on the part of Government officers is
10 no defense to a suit by it to enforce a public right or protect a public interest." Accord Nevada v.
11 United States, 463 U.S. 110, 141 (1983); United States v. California, 332 U.S. 19, 40 (1947).
12 Furthermore, fraudulently concealed facts and with due diligence it was impossible for the
13 Plaintiff to discover the action of the Defendants, until Nov. 11, 2012, when Obama aided,
14 assisted had the case assigned to a newly Obama appointee and only to have the case dismissed,
15 therefore the the statute of limitation tolled in a conspiracy.

16 This complaint is actionable against Judges under Title 42 U.S.C. 1985 (3), whose immunity does
17 not extend to conspiracy under color of law. Section 1985(3) reaches both conspiracies under
18 color of law and conspiracies effectuated through purely private conduct. Cases are pending,
19 and/or were dismissed by the above Judges. On Judges violation of oath of office Many judges
20 have a total disregard for their oath of office under Title 28 Section 453, All judges take this oath of office
21 swearing to uphold the U.S. Constitution. All cases pending before the above list Defendants are to add
22 Chesney

23 The Plaintiff is a victim of crime by the above Defendants, and a victim of repeated violence,
24 which is the direct and proximate cause of the the Plaintiffs disability (Americans with
25 disabilities Act). Pursuant to FRCP short and plain statement are required. It is very difficult
26 for me to even think about, let alone write about the horrific crimes committed against me. In
27 light of the circumstances, this US Federal District Court must excuse any discrepancies of my
28 pleading. I am trying my best to keep sentences short and plain, and simple.

29 In order to survive a motion to dismiss" under Rule 12(b)(6) a Plaintiff need only to 'adduce a
30 set of facts' supporting their legal claims. Wells v. United States, 851 F.2d 1471, 1473 (D.C.
31 Cir.1988) and/or all that is required is that the complaint "provides enough factual information to
32 make clear the substance of that claim." Caribbean Broad. Sys., 148 F.3d at 1086. More *A*
33 *Complaint should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim* unless it appears beyond a doubt
34 that the Plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to
35 relief. See Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957) also Neitzke v. Williams, 109 S.
36 Ct.1827, 1832 (1989); and the Supreme Court ruled a RICO complaint must not be dismissed for
37 discrepancies.

1
2 The United States of America ex rel Sharon Bridgewater also moves this court for Order for all
3 Judges, assigned to the above cases to rescue themselves, and/or relate, transfer and/consolidate
with these case pending before these Judges (THEY ARE AMONG THE PROBABLE
CAUSE).

5
6
FORMAL CRIMINAL CHARGES
7

8 Now comes, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (with and/or without the United States) and
9 a competent adult (put) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or
individually and on behalf of (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia
10 Company and Specialty Global Investments Inc. a dissolved Nevada Corporation), says makes
the following affirmation under the penalties of perjury and that On or about Sept. 19, 2007 thru
11 present the Defendants upon information and belief, two or more of the predicate acts as
itemized in 18 U.S.C. section 1961, and/or crimes, did take place in the County of the District of
12 Columbia, Washington D.C., in the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of
Dekalb, State of Georgia, in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland,
13 State of California, in the County of San Francisco, State of California, in the County of
Washtenaw, State of Michigan and "unknown" cities or towns in the State of Georgia, State of
14 California, State of California, and/or Michigan, and in the County of Wayne, State of Michigan
and in the State of California in the District of Columbia, within the preceding ten years of this
15 complaint among the Defendants as listed on this complaint jointly or severally.
16

17 **AND COMES NOW,** THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (with and/or without the United
18 States) ex rel Sharon Bridgewater, "Private Attorney General" and/or individually and on behalf
of (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global
19 Investments Inc. a dissolved Nevada Corporation), complains, alleges and appearing before a
Federal Judge of the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4, to report these crimes and/or to the
20 United States Congress, The United States House of Representatives, The United States Senate,
formally charges defendants Barak H. Obama, In his individual capacity as Chief Executive
21 Officer of the United States of America, Eric Holder Jr. In his individual capacity as the United
States Attorney General, JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch in their
22 current or former individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 unknown agents of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) in their current or former individual capacities, Defendants
23 JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s) in their current or former
individual capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s)
24 in their individual current or former capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 5 unknown employees
of the U.S. Department of Justice. in their current or former individual capacities, Sandra
25 Brown Armstrong, United States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District
of California in her individual capacity, Claudia Wilkins, United States Federal District Court
26 Federal Judge for the Northern District of California in her individual capacity, Defendants
JOHN DOE 6 unknown employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of California,
27
28

1 Oakland, and Division in their current or former individual capacities, Orinda D. Evans, United
 2 States Federal District Court Federal Judge for the Northern District of Georgia in her individual
 3 capacity, Allen Baverman, a United States Federal District Court Magistrate Federal Judge for
 the Northern District of Georgia in his individual capacity, Defendants JOHN DOE 7 unknown
 employees and/or clerks of the U.S. Northern District of Georgia in their current or former
 individual capacities, Shawn Donavan, in his current or former individual capacity As the
 5 Director of the United States Housing and Urban Development, Defendants, JOHN DOE 8
 unknown Director of the San Francisco Housing in her/his individual and/or official current or
 6 former capacity as Director of the San Francisco Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 9
 unknown employee of the San Francisco Housing Authority in his official and/or individual
 7 current or former capacity, Hayes Valley Limited Partnership(AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II
 8 L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. MBA Urban Development Co.,
 The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc.,
 9 Hasinah Rahim, Shawn Bankson, Jane Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, Jo-Lynne Q.
 Lee, in her Individual and official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Alameda County,
 10 Roger Tonna, Mary Tonna, William Gilg, Defendants JOHN DOE 10 unknown Director of the
 Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her individual and official current or former
 11 capacity as Director of the Alameda County Housing Authority, Defendants JOHN DOE 11
 unknown Supervisor of Lynn Shanks, of the Alameda County Housing Authority, in his/her
 12 individual and official current or former capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 12 Unknown”
 13 Executive Director of Dekalb County, Georgia in his/her individual and official “former”
 14 capacity, Defendants Terrell Bolton “former” Chief of Police of DeKalb County, Georgia in his
 individual and official “former” capacity as Chief of Police of Dekalb County, Chandra Y.
 15 Schreinder #2491, Individually and in her official and/or “former” capacity as arresting Officer
 of the DeKalb County, GA Police Department , Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her
 16 official and/or “former” capacity as a DeKalb County, GA Police Officer, Detective
 George,Individually and in her official and/or “former” capacity as Detective of the DeKalb
 17 County GA Police Department, Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or
 18 “former” capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants
 JOHN DOE 13 are unknown DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official
 19 and/or “former” capacities.Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior
 20 Court Judge of Gwinnett County, Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her
 official and/or “former” capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin “former” Police
 21 Officer of the Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown
 Lawrenceville ,GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or “former” capacities.
 22 Defendants JOHN DOE 15 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in
 23 his/her current or “former” capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in his
 official and/or “former” capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or
 24 Sherriff Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 16 is unknown, Chief of Police of Gwinnett
 County, GA Police or Sherriff Department in his/her individual and official or “former”
 25 “capacity, Defendant JOHN DOE 17 Executive Directors, Commissioners, Board of Directors,
 26 of Gwinnett County, GA individually and in their official current or “former” capacities,
 Defendant Victoria Roberts, in her individual capacity As United States Eastern District Court
 27 Judge of Michigan along with unknown employees of the United States Eastern District Court of
 28 Michigan, And Does John Does 23 thru 1000 inclusive ("Defendants co-conspirators"), for the
 unlawfully and knowingly using and conspiring to use interstate mails and interstate wire

1 communications for private gain and/or outside of their authority in furtherance of a criminal
 2 conspiracy to defraud the United States Government and/or other citizens and/or to deprived
 3 Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC, agents, etc.)of their property
 4 and/or of rights as a citizen of the United States, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 4, 201, 241,
 5 242,(multiple counts), 371, 1001, 1341, 1343, 1346, 1495, 1509, 1513, 1581, 1589, 1951, 28
 6 U.S.C. 530B, 18 USC section 2314, 18 U.S.C. section 666, Theft and/or Perjury and/or Fraud/
 7 and/or Concealment, and/or Violation of Oath of Office/ and/or Corruption, and/or Misprision of
 8 felony, and/or Treason, and/or Impersonation of Federal and/or State Judge(s) and/or Assault and
 9 battery, kidnapping, in violation of California and/or Georgia and/or Michigan State law(cite).

10 and the Racketeer Influenced And Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO") – 18 U.S.C. §1962
 11 (a)and/or (b)and/or(c) and/or (d),and/or 1964(a)(b)(c) and (d), to "[resist] the execution of the
 12 laws under color of [their] authority," by the on going commission of the above chargeable or
 13 indictable or punishable predicate offenses, which used interstate mail or wire fraud and Hobbs
 14 Acts offenses as a "pattern of racketeering" to issue and enforce the *void* order in the United
 15 States Federal District Court of Georgia, California and/or Michigan, to punish, and/or obstruct
 16 Justice for the Plaintiffs exercising ther US Federal and State statutory rights and/or by
 17 depriving the Plaintiff of their business, profession, and right to employment as an independent
 18 *pro hoc vice* federal civil litigator. that each jointly and/or severally are engaged in activities and
 19 conduct that affect federal interstate and/or foreign commerce, and hold legal, equitable, and/or
 20 beneficial interests in property and each is a "person," as that term is defined pursuant to Section
 21 1961(3) of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 ["RICO"].

22 Plaintiffs allege that each and every RICO person that is specifically identified and named as a
 23 RICO defendant is liable as a principal pursuant to Title 18 United States Code §§ 2(a)-(b) and
 24 that each and every RICO person that is a RICO defendant is liable as a co-conspirator pursuant
 25 to Title 18 United States Code § 371; by and through employment of federal
 26 instrumentalities of federal interstate commerce, including the federal mails,
 27 federal wires, and traveling in connection with the commission of racketeering
 28 activity across federal interstate and/or international boundaries and/or lines. The
 Plaintiffs also allege that the events that give rise to causes of actions by and through their
 employment under the color of Federal and State law.

Plaintiffs further allege that the defendants, each of whom are engaged in principal
 business activities within the County of the District of Columbia, Washington
 D.C., in the County of Gwinnett, State of Georgia, in the County of Dekalb, State
 of Georgia, in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, in the County of Oakland,
 State of California, in the County of San Francisco, State of California, in the

1 County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan engaged in continuous, concerted, and
 2 systematic activities with plaintiffs within these districts, resulting in injury to their
 3 respective business or property, pursuant to RICO Title 18 United States Code §
 1964(C)

6 **AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE/ CRIMINAL CHARGES AND/OR CRIMINAL**
 7 **COMPLAINT**

8 I am a competent adult, says makes the following affirmation under the penalties of perjury and
 9 that On or about Sept. 19, 2007 thru present the Defendants upon information and belief, two or
 10 more of the predicate acts as itemized in 18 U.S.C. section 1961, and/or crimes:

11
 12 I I Sharon Bridgewater is a citizen is a citizen of the United States, and is a resident of
 13 various states. I Specialty Investment Group LLC was established in 2004 and Specialty Global
 14 Investments Incorporated was established in 2009 both companies was dutily licensed under
 15 Georgia, and Nevada, and includes a individuals, or a group of individuals associated in fact,
 16 joint ventures, of Real Estate Professional which the Plaintiff Solar Energy. Bridgewater &
 Company Inc. was establish in 2009 dutily licensed under California(or upon the orders of
 Obama and/or Holder), is a Real Estate Company which involves a variety of services, open to
 the general public. nature of commerce – Real Estate an enterprise as defined in 18 USC 1964.

17
 18
 19
 20 Specialty Investment Group LLC “and Specialty Global Investments INC. are dissolved
 21 companies, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, or Nevada with its
 22 principal office and place of business in State of Georgia, and the State of Nevada. The Plaintiff
 23 is one member organizer and is manager of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and the chief
 Executive Officer of Specialty Global Investments INC. The Plaintiff and authorized to handle all
 the affairs of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and Specialty Global Investment.
 24 Bridgewater and Company Inc. is the Plaintiffs son’s company. The Plaintiff is an Officers of
 25 Bridgewater and Company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with
 its principal office and place of business in State of State of California. James S. Bridgewater is
 26 the owner and operator of Bridgewater and Company and have an interest in this complaint as
 well. James is in Califonria, and I am in Michigan. The Plaintiff is an Officer and authorize to
 sue on behalf of Bridgewater and Company INC.

1 The Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater is authorized to manage and handle and conduct all the
 2 Businesses listed in this complaint and/or to sue on behalf for the Specialty Investment Group
 3 LLC, Specialty Global Investment INC. The Plaintiff is an officer of Bridgewater and Company
 Inc. and is authorized to sue on behalf of these companies.

6 I am a fifty year old African America female with no prior felony or misdemonor conviction
 7 and/or no juvenile record. My son is with no prior felonies misdemonor conviction or junvile
 8 record. I am a graduate from the University of Michigan with Master Degree Credits.

9 My disability is the direct and proximate cause the Defendants criminal acts of violence, and
 10 threat against me and it the direct and proximate cause of my depression.

11 The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater are informed and believe that one or more of the
 12 above individuals(Shawn Dovan, HUD Director, SunAmerica Affordable Housing
 13 Partners, et al and/or Obama and/or Holder commencing in 1997, and continuing thru the
 14 filing of this complaint, entered into an unlawful agreement to conceal known facts(fraud),
 15 they were under a duty to disclose to US Congress and/or other, abuse US Government
 16 Power, obstruct justice, knowingly, willingly, intentionally, transported, transmitted, or
 17 transferred in interstate or foreign commerce, and/or caused to be flew in, goods, wares,
 18 merchandise, securities and/or money, of the value of \$5,000 of United States of America
 19 and/or of the Plaintiffs rel Sharon Bridgewater, individually, the Specialty Investment Group
 20 L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global Investments Inc., a dissolved
 21 Nevada Corporation),Bridgewater & Company Inc. a California Corporation of Guns,
 22 ammunition and/or weapons, and/or computers, phones, a gun, valuble business
 23 contracts,aother goods of the United States or other goods, wares, etc. knowing it to have been
 24 stolen, converted or taken by fraud; and/or knowingly, and/or devised or intended to devise a
 25 scheme to artifice defraud the United States Government or Plaintiffs rel Sharon Bridgewater,
 26 individually, the Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and
 27 Specialty Global Investments Inc., a dissolved Nevada Corporation),Bridgewater & Company
 28 Inc. a California Corporation of Guns, or obtain money or property by means of false or
 fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, transported or causes to be transported, or
 induced those operating under their direction(DOJ) and/or Judicial authority a one or more of
 the above name Defendants person to travel in, or to be transported in interstate or foreign
 commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud the United States
 of America, that person or those persons of money or property having a value of \$5,000 or
 more in violation of 18 USC section 2314, and/or have committed a number of other RICO
 predicate acts; obstruction of justice, mail fraud, wire fraud, retaliating against a federal
 witness, victim of crime and other predicate acts, and agreed, 371, 1001, 1341, 1343, 1346,
 1495, 1509, 1513, 1581, 1589, 1951, 28 U.S.C. 530B, 18 USC section 2314, 18 U.S.C.
 section 666, Theft and/or Perjury and/or Fraud/ and/or Concealment, and/or Violation of Oath
 of Office/ and/or Corruption, and/or Misprision of felony, and/or Treason, and/or

1 Impersonation of Federal and/or State Judge(s) and/or Assault and battery, in violation of
2 California and/or Georgia and/or Michigan State law(cite).

3
4
5 The Plaintiff allege and/or is informed and believe that Obama and/or Eric Holder and/or Obama
6 cabinet member Shawn Dovanan, et al have engaged in dishonest practices in connection with a
7 program administered by an agency of the Government, and have defrauded the United States
8 under 18 U.S.C. § 371 and/or have knowingly, willfully, intentionally agreed to defraud the US
9 government by: making false statements or representations to the government or its agencies in
10 order to obtain property of the government, or performed acts or made statements that they
11 knew to be false, fraudulent or deceitful to the US Congress, and/or other government agencies
which disrupted the functions of the agency or of the government; and/or cheated the US
government out of money or property: and/or interfered or obstructed the legitimate Government
activity; and/or made wrongful use of a governmental instrumentality and/or have engaged in
dishonest practices.

12 Eric Holder the United States Department of Justice Director, at all times mentioned is in
13 charge of the DOJ, and the employees of the DOJ, and all operations, programs and/or the fast
and furious operation.

14 The "Fast and Furious" operation was intended to build cases against Mexican drug cartels and
15 the smuggling networks supplying them by allowing illegally purchased firearms to go from the
16 United States into Mexico. In the operation, "straw buyers" - people who buy the weapons for
17 others who might not legally be allowed to buy them - were allowed to purchase in Arizona
illegally large numbers of weapons, some of which ended up in the hands of cartels in Mexico.
This operation was under the Direction of Eric Holder Jr.

18
19 The idea was that once the weapons in Mexico were traced to the straw purchasers, the
20 smuggling network could be brought down. But the ATF lost track of more than 1,000 firearms,
and some guns weren't recovered until they turned up at crime scenes, both in Mexico and, as the
Terry case illustrated, the United States.

21
22 Once the operation was in the public spotlight, Mexican officials and critics in the United States
23 called the operation a failure, saying it exacerbated the longstanding problem of U.S. weapons
getting into the hands of Mexican cartels.

24 Criticism was heaped on the ATF and its parent agency, the Department of Justice.
25 Congressional committees began investigating, and Democrats and Republicans have been at
26 odds over who knew what about the operation, and when.

27 The controversial Operation Fast and Furious, which the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms
28 and Explosives began in 2009, came to the public's attention after guns linked to the program
were found at the site where a U.S. Border Patrol agent was killed.

1 Brian A. Terry was fatally shot in the Arizona desert, just north of the Mexico border, on
2 December 14, 2010, after he confronted a group of bandits believed to be preying on illegal
3 immigrants. Nearly three months later, Sen. Charles Grassley, R-Iowa, announced that two
weapons found near the scene were traced to an ATF gun-running operation, later identified as
Fast and Furious.

5
6 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on December 14, 2010: A Border Patrol
7 Agent Brian A. Terry was killed in the Arizona desert, and later Two guns found at the site are
later linked to the ATF Fast and Furious program

8
9
10 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about January 2011: Congress
begins asking questions about the ATF program.

11
12
13 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about February 4, 2011:
14 Responding to an inquiry from Sen. Charles Grassley, Assistant Attorney General Ronald
Weich writes that top officials had only recently learned about the ATF gun-running program,
15 but that nothing improper was done in the operation. Weich also asserts that any allegation that
the ATF knowingly allowed the sale of assault weapons to a straw purchaser who then
16 transported them into Mexico is false. "ATF makes every effort to interdict weapons that have
been purchased illegally and prevent their transportation to Mexico," Weich wrote.

17
18
19 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about March 3, 2011: An ATF
whistleblower tells "CBS Evening News" that the ATF intentionally allowed guns to go into
20 Mexico. Just minutes before the broadcast, ATF Acting Director Kenneth Melson issues a
statement saying the agency is forming a panel to "review the bureau's current firearms
21 trafficking strategies employed by field division managers and special agents."

22
23
24 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about March 4, 2011: CNN reports
that Grassley wrote a letter to Attorney General Eric Holder complaining that the ATF was
25 "stonewalling" his investigation into the matter. CNN also reports that, according to Grassley,
ATF agents told his staff "the agency allowed the sale of assault rifles to known and suspected
26 straw purchasers for an illegal trafficking ring near the southwest border."

1 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about May 2011: Holder tells the
2 House Judiciary Committee that he "probably heard about Fast and Furious for the first time over
3 the last few weeks."

4
5 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about June 15, 2011: Rep. Darrell
6 Issa alleges Weich's claim that the ATF never knowingly allowed the sale of assault weapons to
7 straw purchasers, who then transported them into Mexico, is deceiving. Issa, chairman of the
8 House Oversight and Government Reform Committee, and other congressmen allege that
9 although it is technically true that straw purchasers didn't cross any weapons into Mexico, they
10 did transfer them to third parties who did.

11 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on ATF whistleblowers testify before
12 Issa's committee. Peter Forcelli, a supervisor at the ATF Phoenix field office, says Fast and
13 Furious was "a colossal failure of leadership." An agent, Lee Casa, tells the committee that ATF
14 supervisors brushed off several agents' concerns over letting guns go. Another agent, John
15 Dodson, tells lawmakers: "I cannot begin to think of how the risk of letting guns fall into the
16 hands of known criminals could possibly advance any legitimate law enforcement interest."

17 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about August 30, 2011: Melson, the
18 ATF's acting director, is reassigned to a position in the Justice Department. Also, the U.S.
19 attorney for Arizona, Dennis Burke, resigns. Burke's office had given legal guidance to the ATF
20 relating to Fast and Furious.

21 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about September 7, 2011: Holder
22 says in a news conference that Fast and Furious "was clearly a flawed enforcement effort," and
23 adds that investigations will find involvement did not reach "the upper levels" of the Justice
24 Department.

25 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about October 12, 2011:
26 Congressional investigators issue a subpoena for communications from several top Justice
27 Department officials, including Holder, relating to Fast and Furious. Meanwhile, Republicans
28 say that previously released documents show that Holder knew about Fast and Furious much
earlier than he indicated to the House Judiciary Committee in May. Holder and his aides deny
the allegation.

1 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about Oct. 27, 2011 US Senators
2 and Congress question the Secretary of State of the transporations of the weapons. Plaintiffs are
3 informed and believe the Secreatary of State had no knowledge of the actions of Obama and/or
Holder transporation of weapons to the Mexican Mafia.(Drug Cartel) The Secreatary of State
allege as follows:

6 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about November 1, 2011: **Lanny**
7 **Breuer**, an assistant attorney general in charge of the criminal division, tells a Senate judiciary
8 subcommittee that he first learned of the tactic of allowing illegally purchased guns to leave
shops in April 2010. That tactic, he said, was executed during a 2006-2007 ATF program, called
Operation Wide Receiver, which happened during the George W. Bush administration.

11 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege Breuer says he should have warned
12 Holder and other Justice officials about the 2006-2007 tactics, but failed to do so. He said he also
13 failed to recognize that the same tactics used in 2006-2007 were being used again in Fast and
Furious.

15 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about November 8, 2011: Holder
16 tells the Senate Judiciary Committee that the tactic allowing illegal guns to be smuggled into
Mexico "should never have happened, and it must never happen again."

19 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about December 2, 2011: The
20 Justice Department withdraws its February 4 letter to Grassley, saying the letter contains
inaccuracies.

22 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege ahead of a December 8 House Judiciary
23 Committee hearing at which Holder is to testify, 1,400 pages demanded by investigators are
24 released. The documents show, among other things, that Justice officials struggled for days over
how to write the February letter to Grassley.

27 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege, on or about December 7, 2011: Grassley
28 calls on Breuer to resign, saying he misled Congress by saying he didn't know in February that
the assertions in the February 4 letter were wrong. Grassley says documents show that Breuer

1 should have been aware that the letter contained false assertions, due to his knowledge of the
2 2006-2007 Operation Wide Receiver.

3
4
5 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about December 8, 2011: Holder
6 tells the House Judiciary Committee that he won't resign over the Fast and Furious controversy,
7 and that he doesn't think any of his top aides should step down. He says the operation relied on
8 "unacceptable tactics" and was "inexcusable," but he says that Justice Department officials have
9 cooperated with investigators, and that any previous misleading information was not part of an
10 intentional deception.

11
12 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about January 31, 2012: Democrats
13 on the House Government Oversight and Reform Committee issue a report saying the panel has
14 found no evidence showing that top Justice officials "conceived or directed" Fast and Furious.
15 The report from the Democrats, who are a minority on the Republican-led panel, places blame
16 for the program on federal agents and prosecutors in Arizona.

17
18 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about February 1, 2012: Terry's
19 parents, Josephine and Kent Terry Sr., file a \$25 million wrongful death claim in an Arizona
20 court against the federal government.

21
22 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about February 2, 2012: Holder tells
23 the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee that firings of Justice officials who
24 oversaw Fast and Furious are likely to come in the next six months.

25
26 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege Issa threatens to begin a contempt
27 proceeding against Holder unless he releases more documents.

28
29 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about May 18, 2012: Issa and other
30 House GOP lawmakers send Holder demanding that he release the full amount of materials that
31 Issa's committee asked for previously. Although the letter acknowledges that there's been some
32 cooperation on the investigation, it emphasizes that House Republicans still want answers in two
33 key areas - who in top positions knew about the operation before the murder of a federal border
34 agent exposed its existence, and did anyone on Holder's team misinform Congress when they
35 responded in part to the Oversight committee's subpoena.

1
2 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about June 19, 2012: A showdown
3 meeting between Holder and Issa fails to resolve their dispute over documents. Issa says that
unless at least some of the documents are handed over before the committee meets June 20, it
would vote on a measure that would send a contempt vote to the full House.

6
7 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege the House Oversight and Government
8 Reform Committee votes 23-17 (with all of the panel's Republicans voting yes, and all of the
panel's Democrats voting no) to recommend that the full House vote on whether to cite Holder
9 for contempt of Congress. The vote by the full House could happen on the week of June 25.

10
11 **The United States ex rel Sharon Bridgewater** allege on or about **June 28, 2012**: The House
12 votes to hold Holder in contempt of Congress, and/or did hold Holder in criminal and/or Civil
Contempt from obstruction a Congressional Investigation.

13
14
15 142 Democrats voted with republican to prevent Holder from lying to Congress with taxpayers
money. (see exh.). Nearly all republican calls for Holder to resign.

16
17
18 Plaintiffs are informed and believe that the United States Government Oversight Committee,
19 came to the conclusion that certain individual operating under the direction of Holder have
committed these acts. Further, upon investigation of the US Congress, on or about, upon
20 information and belief the United States Government and Oversight committee released a report
and found that certain individuals employees of the US Department of Justice (operating under
21 the direction of Holder) were "directly" responsible for fast and furious. ¹

22
23 Defendants Eric Holder Jr. in his official or individual capacity personal capacity as Director of
24 of the United States Department of Justice is the final decision maker for any policy and
procedure, relating to the DOJ, and his employees and is civilly or criminally responsible for the
25 action of his employees. .

26
27
28 ¹ On or about Dec. 3, 2012, DOJ Chief of staff, "Holder right hand man"
resigns.

1 Obama has failed to instruct, supervise, and control, fire Holder, the DOJ, officers and/or
2 instructed, supervised, and controlled the DOJ and/or Eric Holder, Obama at all times had a legal
3 duty or obligation to fire Holder as Attorney General and has asserts executive privilege, and is
in joint participation with Holder in Holder illegal acts.

4
5 Upon information and belief Holder and/or Obama retaliated against federal witness and victims
6 of US Government RICO crimes, use violence, threat, coercion, assault, against Federal, to halt
7 the ability to report the crimes of Obama and/or Holder, and did overt acts or omission to further
the objective of the conspiracy to halt the ability of federal witness to report the RICO CRIMES.

8 INTERNET ARTICLE

9 10 ATF's Warning to 11 12 Whistleblowers 13 14



15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 ATF Acting Director Todd Jones / AP



BY: CJ Ciaramella

July 23, 2012 2:00 pm

Lawmakers and government accountability advocates have expressed concern over a July 9 video message directed at Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives agents by ATF Acting Director Todd Jones, which they say is a veiled threat to government whistleblowers.

“Choices and consequences means simply that if you make poor choices, that if you don’t abide by the rules, that if you don’t respect the chain of command, if you don’t find the appropriate way to raise your concerns to your leadership, there will be consequences, because we cannot tolerate—we cannot tolerate—an undisciplined organization,” Jones said in the video.

Some lawmakers and government accountability groups interpreted the words as a warning to employees considering blowing the whistle on corruption.

In a July 18 letter to Jones, Rep. Darrell Issa (R., Calif.) and Sen. Chuck Grassley (R., Iowa) wrote ATF employees must be “free and clear of agency interference or retaliation” if they choose to talk to Congress.

“Your ominous message—which could be interpreted as a threat—is likely to have a major chilling effect on ATF employees exercising their rights to contact Congress,” the two lawmakers wrote. “Therefore, it needs to be clarified.”

“On numerous occasions, we have stressed to ATF and the Department of Justice the importance of protecting whistleblower disclosures and preventing retaliation against whistleblowers,” they continued.

“The bureau needs to make up its mind whether it’s going to be supportive of employees trying to get its mission back on track, or if it’s going to snuff out dissent,” said Tom Devine, the legal director of the Government Accountability Project. “There doesn’t seem to be any consensus.”

Grassley and Issa spearheaded the investigation into “Operation Fast and Furious,” a flawed gun-walking scheme in which federal agents allowed thousands of firearms to be smuggled across the U.S. border and into the hands of Mexican drug cartels.

“What it appears to be is a not-so-veiled threat telling (ATF employees) not to do what they did to expose Fast and Furious,” Issa told Fox News. “He’s basically saying, ‘No, keep it in the chain.’”

Former ATF agent Jay Dobyns, who has been an outspoken critic of the agency’s leadership, said Jones’ video misrepresented the atmosphere at the agency toward whistleblowers.

1 “The problem is the whistleblowers I know have all played by the rules and presented complaints
2 to first, second and third level supervisors, the Ombudsman’s office, Internal Affairs, the EEOC,
3 the OIG and OSC, Congress, and finally the media,” Dobyns wrote on CleanUpATF.org, a
forum created by fellow ATF whistleblower Vincent Cefalu.

4 “None that I am personally aware of immediately jumped [up] and put themselves in front of a
5 reporter or camera. What Acting Director Jones does not discuss is the utter lack of interest when
6 whistleblowers follow the rules. He talks as if the process is balanced but the truth is it is a one-
7 way street. You get NO attention or concern until an executive is embarrassed in the media. Not
8 even an acknowledgement of a complaint beyond a boilerplate email—thank you for your
interest; we are very concerned; blah, etc.”

9 Grassley and Issa have previously voiced concern over retaliatory statements made against
10 whistleblowers at the ATF. In a June 29 letter to the Inspector General, Grassley and Issa wrote
11 that, according to eyewitness accounts, ATF Chief of Public Affairs Scot Thomasson vowed
12 retaliation against agents who first disclosed the details of the scandalous Fast and Furious
operation.

13 “All of these whistleblowers have axes to grind,” Thomasson allegedly said. “ATF needs to f—k
14 these guys. We need to get whatever dirt we can on these guys and take them down.”

15 The Government Accountability Project’s Devine said his organization is working to promote
16 legislation called the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act, which would strengthen
protections for government employees who report misconduct.

17 The bill is currently in pre-conference negotiations between the House and the Senate, and he
18 expects a final vote sometime in September, Devine said.

19 Devine said his organization has recently had positive experiences with ATF leadership
20 resolving whistleblower complaints, but the July 9 video sent a contradictory message.

21 The Obama administration has been among the harshest in American history when it comes to
22 targeting and prosecuting the whistleblowers that leak information, as well as the journalists who
23 obtain that information.

24 Under President Obama, more Americans have been charged under the Espionage Act for
25 leaking classified information than all previous administrations combined.

26 President Obama pledged to run the “most transparent administration in history” upon entering
27 office.

28 In a statement to the *Free Beacon*, ATF spokesman Marc Willis said the video was addressed to
all employees, senior and junior level, and was not meant as a threat.

1 "The excerpt in question was not intended to discourage those with protected disclosure from
2 pursuing the full range of legal protections available to them in raising work-related concerns,"
3 Willis said. "Acting Director Jones and the leadership of ATF respect the rights of all ATF
employees. The ATF leadership has specifically reminded employees and supervisors of
employees with protected disclosure rights, about their protections under federal law."

4 The spokesman also said Jones has expanded opportunities for employees to report work-related
5 concerns since his appointment and added more staff in its ombudsman office to handle
6 complaints, other proof.
7

8
9 Fast and Furious: GOP says wiretaps revealed 'Gunwalking' early on

10 Dodson went public about the agency's controversial gunwalking tactics in an interview with
11 CBS News in February 2011. He later testified before Congress along with Forcelli.

12 "It is difficult to understand why ATF leadership would put two of these courageous
13 whistleblowers at the mercy of an individual who made such reckless, irresponsible and
14 inaccurate comments about them 18 months ago," say the members of Congress in today's letter
15 to the Inspector General. The letter also asks "what steps, if any, are being taken to ensure that
Thomasson does not use his new position to engage in a campaign of retaliation along the lines
he expressed a desire to conduct last year."

16 ATF told CBS News: "As a general policy, atf does not comment on personnel matters. we
17 respect the rights of all our employees and will proceed an appropriate manner." ATF did not
18 respond to our request to speak to Thomasson, nor did he respond to an email request for
comment.
19

20 *****END OF INTERNET ARTICLE*****
21

22 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL SHARON BRIDGEWATER allege and/or is
23 informed and believe that Obama and/or Holder conceal known facts(fraud), they were under a
24 duty to disclose to US Congress and/or other, abuse US Government Power. Plaintiff is
25 informed and believe that Holder and/or Obama transported, transmitted, or transferred in
26 interstate or foreign commerce, and/or caused to be flew in, goods, wares, merchandise,
27 securities and/or money, of the value of \$5,000 of United States of America, Guns, ammunition
28 and/or weapons, aother goods of the United States or other goods, wares, etc. knowing it to have
been stolen, converted or taken by fraud; and/or knowingly, and/or devised or intended to devise
a scheme to artifice defraud the United States Government, or caused to be transported in
interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud
the United States of America, in violation of 18 USC section 2314, and/or Obstruction of justice

the frustration of governmental purposes by violence, **Obstruction by Violence (18 U.S.C. 1512(a))**, the use of violence to prevent a witness from testifying or , corruption, destruction of evidence, or deceit(18 U.S.C. 1512 (tampering with federal witnesses), 1513 (retaliating against federal witnesses), 1503 (obstruction of pending federal court proceedings), 1505 (obstruction of pending Congressional or federal administrative proceedings), 371 (conspiracy), and/or contempt, and constitute one, two or more predicate acts as defined in the RICO statutes, and within a ten year period; and the United States ex rel charges Obama and/or Holder with the above mentioned crimes.

I THE PLAINTIFF SHARON BRIDGEWATER HAVE FIRST HAND KNOWLEDGE

OF Holder and/or Obama, et. Obstruction by Violence (18 U.S.C. 1512(a), the use of physical force or a threat – 18 U.S.C. 1512(a)(2), use of violence to prevent a witness from testifying, assault or a threat, corruption, destruction of evidence, or deceit(18 U.S.C. 1512 (tampering with federal witnesses), 1513 (retaliating against federal witnesses), 1503 (obstruction of pending federal court proceedings), 1505 (obstruction of pending Congressional or federal administrative proceedings), 371 (conspiracy), the destruction or concealment of evidence or attempts to do so (18 U.S.C. 1512(c); and witness harassment to prevent the production of evidence (18 U.S.C. 1512(d) and/or Holder and/or Obama transported, transmitted, or transferred in interstate or foreign commerce, and/or caused to be flew in, goods, wares, merchandise, securities and/or money, of the value of \$5,000 of United States of America, Guns, ammunition and/or weapons, aother goods of the United States or other goods, wares, etc. knowing it to have been stolen, converted or taken by fraud; and/or knowingly, and/or devised or intended to devise a scheme to artifice defraud the United States Government, or caused to be transported in interstate or foreign commerce in the execution or concealment of a scheme or artifice to defraud the United States of America, in violation of 18 USC section 2314.

On or about from August 2008 and continuing thru the filing of this complaint the Plaintiffs have excised her US GUANTEED CONSTITUTIONAL FIRST AMENDED RIGHTS, AND HOLDER, AND/OR OBAMA AND HIS CABINET MEMBERS HAVE USED AND AS FOLLOWS:

RICO PREDICATE ACTS “PATTERN AND PRACTICES” EVENTS AND OCCURANCE AND/OR PROLONGED CRIMINAL ACT LONG TERM/ EXTENDING OVER THE COURSE OF SEVEN YEAR(+) OF SHAWN DOVANAN OBAMA’S

CABINET MEMBER

Obama’s cabinet member Shawn Donovan is the Unites States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) duties and/or obligation as HUD Director is to manages programs, assist community development and help provide affordable housing for the nation. Ensure that

1 Federal and/or State Fair housing laws are administered by HUD, and to ensure that individuals
 2 and families can buy a homes, rental apartments and/or public housing without being subjected
 3 to discrimination. Shawn Dovanavan HUD directs mortgage insurance programs that help
 families become homeowners, and a rent-subsidy program for low-income families that
 otherwise could not afford decent housing. In addition, it operates programs that aid
 neighborhood rehabilitation, preserve urban centers from blight, and encourage the development
 of new communities. And public housing across America, Hope VI funds were intended to help,
 encourage and at all times mentioned had a legal duty or obligation to abide by the HUD
 regulatory and management The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and/or
 Shawn Dovanah who manages programs that assist community development and help provide
 affordable housing for the nation. Fair housing laws, administered by HUD, designed to ensure
 that individuals and families who buy/rent home without being subjected to discrimination. HUD
 directs mortgage insurance programs that help families become homeowners, and a rent-subsidy
 program for low-income families that otherwise could not afford decent housing. In addition, it
 operates programs that aid neighborhood rehabilitation, preserve urban centers from blight, and
 encourage the development of new communities. HUD,operate the HOPE VI programs(cite the
 purpose of the HOPE VI) also protects the home buyer in the marketplace and fosters programs
 to stimulate the housing industry, and at all times had a legal duty or obligation to enforce
 Federal Fair Housing laws, and not to defraud the US Government out of money.

14 I at all times owned property in Ann Arbor, MI, I had a successful company and lived in Ann
 15 Arbor for the majority of my adult life. Raised son, after graduate I decided to relocate a try
 16 something different.

18 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned were a strong African America women, goal oriented, civil
 rights advocate, outgoing, happy, cheerful

20 James is not listed on this complaint due to his citizenship or resident in California, and I am in
 21 Michigan. The Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater is 50 years old, has no felony, misdemonor or
 juvenile record. The Plaintiff Son James S.Bridgewater is 29.5 years old has no felony,
 22 misdemonor or juvenile record, and home town is Ann Arbor, MI. We both have Degrees from
 Universities, I am a University of Michigan Graduate, with Master degree credit.(see exh.) I
 23 owned my own business in Ann Arbor, which enable me to purchase a house in Ann Arbor,
 Michigan, where I raised my son(see exh.)at all times mentioned brought a house of my own in
 24 Ann Arbor, MI, substitute teacher, non profit organization,owned property in Ann Arbor, MI
 25 raised my son in the Ann Arbor School district upon graduation from college, 15 years in Ann
 Arbor, I ventured to Georgia, where I started a Real

27 I have no felony or misdemonor conviction(with the exception of the Defendants conspiracy to
 28 defraud me out of money) nor juvenile record, nor does my son.

At all times mentioned Obama invested in AIG a Sunamerica Affordable housing partnership and was

We at all times mentioned were minority small business owners, and were at all times mentioned competitors with two or more of the above Defenants. At the time the Plaintiff was a 42 Year old Africa entered into a lease agreement contract HOPE VI help,

I at all times enrolled in a MBA Masters of Business Adminstration Degree Program program and was excepted at the University of Maryland, to operate my business more efficiency. in 2008,at the time my son was studying to become a license Real Estate Broker., The Plaintiffs son at all times mentioned were studying to become a license Real Estate Broker at the times.All defendants came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, to use threat, coercion, extortion, take money without due process of law, violate the Plaintiffs rights to free speech(silence the Plaintiff), violate the Plaintiff due process rights in the termination of tenancy process, restrain commerce, monopolize the Real Estate industry and did overt act or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy. I entered into a lease agreement with the defendants on these basis

I at alltimes mentioned conducted instate commerce in Michigan, Georgia and/or other States within America and/or internationally.

My son is currently a licensed Real Estate Broker and is the owner of Bridgewater and company and have no prior felonies or misdemonor convictions.

We at all times mentioned were minority owed businesses, and were at all times mentioned competitors with the Hayes Valley Limited Parntership(Public/Private Partnership)

I am a University of Michigan Graduate, with Master degree credit.(see exh.) Duy my time I was attacked by a police officer, and civil rights violation, which is the direct and proximate cause of disability the doctor render me, desipe this over the years I have been contantly attacked without probable cause(see exh.) however I have continued to strive excel and conduct business.

I then moved to Georgia, I was awarded a HUD section 8 housing Voucher I at all times mentioned in this complaint was a participant of the Unites States Department and Urban Development(HUD)Self-Sufficieny Program(a program that help the HUD participants obtain employment or start a business) owned, possessed and control a Hud Section 8 Program housing

1 choice voucher. [The US Department of Housing and Urban Development manages the Section 8
2 program(Shawn Donovan and Executive Cabinet of Obama is the Director of HUD). The HUD
3 Section 8 of the Housing Act of 1937 , 42 U.S.C. § 1437f, authorizes the payment of rental
housing assistance to private landlords.

5 I started a business. I hired an Real Estate Attorney who been in business for years in the State
6 of Michigan, to put together Real Estate Contract. I also hired a Attorney in the State of
7 Georgia. I then started a business the Specialty Investment Group LCC, a Real Estate Company
8 joint venture, residential sales, and pool of residential Real Estate. minority business Owner
and/or women's business enterprise.

9 Specialty Investment Group LLC involved "multi-function" appraisal, employees, driving a
10 vehical looking at properties, and meeting with propective partners of my company. Real Estate
11 Management, etc., which included upkeep of the properties, lawn care services, maintenances
My business was doing very well.(nature of commerce)interstate commerce and consist of an
12 enterprise a group of individuals, partners, etc. Real Estate, and the (The Coalition for
Empowerment a 501 C-3 non-profit Organization² I had Real Estate Investors throughtout
13 America and Internationally interested in partnering with my company. ³

14
15 I rented an Office Space and conducted business out of two Office Suite, in Lawrenceville,
GA, 470 N. Clayton Street, Suite 204 and 206. During my tenancy I noticed once a week, at a
16 "particular" time of the day, in the City of Lawrenceville, that most, of the "towns caucisina
17 business people" were absent from their work or unavailbe for anyone to contact. I wondered,
about the absent, and thought these people were having secret meetings. At any rate I continued
18 to rent the Office Space to operate my business.

19
20 On Sept. 2004, while visiting a friend(an upsale neighborhood)my car was parked in her
driveway. The vehical parked in the drive way was a rental car and "an 2004 Chevy Malibu,"
21 which had Michigan Plates.

22 An Officer "unknown" Gwinnett County Police Officer or Sherriff, detained me,
interrogated, and asked questions, and told me the car was reported stolen.
23

24 I then told the Officer that there was some mistake, as I had rented the vehical, and my
25 insurance company was suppose to be paying the rental car fee's.

26
27 1 Formerly Greater Lansing Helping Hands "a teenage abintenance program."

28 ³ The Real Estate market was at its peak.

1
2 Despite my explanation to the Officer, I was arrested, charged with theft by receiving a stolen
3 vehical and detained in the Gwinnett County Jailed. recent move to Atlanta, hiring attorney to
start my business and/or renting the Office space for my business I was short of funds, and lack
of money and due to While I was detained I requested a court appointed attorney as Defense.
The State of Georgia appointed Attorney Lucas Harsh in case number# _____.

5
6 The incident caused me horrific psychological damages, as mentioned in the above.

7
8 Lucas Harsh then questioned me about my life, I told him I had just relocated from Michigan,
and had just started a Real Estate Company. Lucas Harsh asked me for money, I told him I did
9 not have any money or hire an Attorney.

10
11 Lucas Harsh subsequently told me to and/or pressured me to plea guilty to a felony of theft by
12 receiving(a stolen vehical), and told me he would not go to trial, because I was guilty of stealing
a car.

13
14 I then told Lucas Harsh, there was no way I would plea to a crime I did commit, and
15 subsequently told him that I would either represent myself or hire a different attorney for
defense.(Lucas Harsh my defense Attorney acted like my "prosecutor.")

16
17 I then paid mone and hired a different Attorney(not from Gwinnett County). The charge of theft
18 by receiving of stolen vehical resulted in a "DISMISSAL."

19 I petitioned Gwinnett County Police Department and the appropriate State Agencies to delete,
20 expunge case # _____, and the above dismissal, one or more of the Defendants refused to.

21 On or about Sept thru ___, I was stopped on five different occasions, an questioned by
22 Lawrenceville Police Officers or Gwinnett County Police Officer, for no apparent reason.

23
24 The incident caused me horrific psychological damages, as mentioned in the above.

25
26 The traffic stops were unpleasant to me, and caused psychological as mentioned on page. The
27 traffic stops caused me horrific trama and psychological damage as mention in the above,
28 however

1 Despite this trauma and psychological injury, I was determined to succeed and continue to operate
2 my business, and continued to conduct business.

3 My business was doing very well, and I decided to expand my business to California, and
conduct business to the State of California. I then boarded a flight to California, searched for an
apartment in San Francisco, CA.

6 I located an public housing complex, At which time the complainant went to Hayes Valley
7 Limited Partnership Apartments(HVLP), The Related Companies, McCormack Baron Ragan,
8 MBA, SunAmerica Affordable Partnership(is of AIG which the United States Government and
9 President Barak Obama allocated, 30 billion dollars and bailed out AIG the US Government
owes 70 percent., at 401 Rose Street, San Francisco, CA(Hayes Valley Limited
10 Partnership(partners) is a monopoly which was granted exclusive control US Government, and
the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development is a public/private Federal
11 Government Housing facility that accomadates low income, elderly socially economically
disadvantage, and accepts HUD vouchers. HVLP, build, and subsequently managed the units via
12 HOPE VI funds, HUD HOPE VI program with the goal to provide a springboard for tenants
participating in the HUD section 8 HUD program to become economically empowered.
13 Public/Private Partnership Real Estate The relevant market for antitrust analysis in this
case is the entire contintual of the United States of America and/or internatin. All
14 country-code TLDs are operated and managed outside of the United States.
Registration with ccTLDs requires a registrant to leave the borders and protection
15 of the United States. Jane Creason, Kimball and Tirey St. Therefore, these ccTLDs
16 cannot be counted as part of the relevant market for determining antitrust
17

18 And the two were a combination of conspiracy. Are responsible for employees
19 conduct.(RICO))

20 At all times mentioned HVLP were competitors with the Specialty Investment Group LLC
21 and/or James Bridgewater had just graduate from college and was studying to become a licensed
Real Estate Broker⁴, at the time was a household member.
22

23 ⁴ James Bridgewater, the Plaintiff son is a California resident, is currently a license Real
24 Estate Broker in California, own a Real Estate Company, Bridgewater and Company INC. which
consist of a networking an experienced and/or professional team of real estate professionals in
25 all aspects of commercial and or Real Estate Investment buying and/or selling Real Estate; and
the development, leasing, acquisitions, finance, asset management, and/or property management.
26 It also consist of companies, developers, and law firms, attorneys, brokers, appraisers,
27 developers, property managers, and general and was/is duly authorized a Corporation organized
under the laws of the State of California nature trade of commerce is Real Estate.
28 Constitute a enterprise and is a Ohio University Graduate.⁴

1
2 I walked into the lobby area at HVLP, and it was a lady name Hashiah Rasad, she was the
3 property manager of the complex.

4
5 I told the Property Manager of Hayes Valley Limited Hashiah Rashad, the I conducted
6 business in Georgia, in Real Estate wanted to rent an apartment in California and make and
7 expand my business to California. I told her I was doing very well, and that my son was
8 studying to become a licensed Real Estate Broker, and would occupy the unit while I operated
9 my Real Estate business in the State of Georgia.

10 Hashiah Rashad told me that they work with a network of community organizations to help
11 their tenants, to establish a positive incentive to become self-sufficient and empowered.
12 Promote individual responsibility and reduce the dependency on federal assistance on housing
13 subsidies and/or section 8 and help tenants become financially independent and agreed to help
14 us, and agreed to rent the apartment to me on the above terms. Hashiah Rashad/San Francisco
15 Housing Authority told me that it was OK to conduct business out of state and while my son
16 occupied the unit, at all times knew and were aware all contact information in Georgia,
17 information regarding the Plaintiff.

18 Hashiah than leased an apartment to me, on the above terms of me conducting business out of
19 State. I gave Hashiah Rashad/San Francisco Housing Authority the manager for HVLP all my
20 contact information(Office location/address/phone/fax number in the State of Georgia) I supplied
21 Hashiah Rashad/San Francisco Housing Authority with all my contact information and/or
22 income information.

23 Subsequently On or about Jan. 1, 2005, I Sharon Bridgewater, the San Francisco Housing
24 Authority(SFHA), and HVLP then entered into a "three way" legally binding, HUD lease
25 agreement contract for the premise of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA 94102, for \$1,600.00
26 per month and my son James Bridgewater live with me and was listed as a household member on
27 the HUD contract. HVLP entered into a three way written lease agreement for the premise of
28 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA(my HUD voucher was transferred her HUD section 8
voucher from Georgia to the State of California, and the city of San Francisco).

The HUD contract (varied each month pursuant to my income). the complainant monthly
income; and the HUD contract is one year contract, and after the initial year the contract renew
on a month-to-month basis. The contract does not terminate and can only be transferred to
another property, good cause eviction, non-payment of rent or a breach by the Plaintiff pursuant
to Hud rules.

My son James occupied the unit as a "household member at the time was studying to become a
licensed Real Estate Broker in the State of California.

1 I then left the State of California and went back to Georgia, to continue to conduct business.⁵

2
3
4
5 **Hayes Valley Limited partnership and their Partners provide public housing to millions of**
6 **low income tenants, and/or build, redevelop, provide public housing facilities in most if not**
7 **all of the 50 States in the United States of America. US Government public/private**
8 **partnership granted exclusive control by the US Government and is a monopoly.** And
9 receive approx. 19 Billion Dollars annually in US Federal Dollars, and is operated by Shawn
10 Dovanan the United States Department of HUD. Plaintiff allege the defendants HVLP at all times
11 mentioned had a HUD regulatory and management agreement pursuant to HOPE VI. Shawn
12 Dovanan, an Obama appointee, (Obama at all times owned, controlled 92% of AIG, and gave
13 AIG Bail out money in 2008, maintained, invested in AIG(SunAmerica Affordable Housing
14 Partnership one of Hayes Valley Limited Partnership, and is a public/private partner. Plaintiff
15 allege the defendants at all times mentioned were required to help, aid assist the Plaintiff in her
16 Real Estate Business and help the Plaintiffs to excel, and/or engage in free open competition.

17 Obama duties are to uphold the US Constitution, and oversee his cabinet members.

18 Holder is the legal advisor to Obama, president's legal adviser and have a legal duty or
19 obligations as the United States Attorney General is to ensure fair and impartial administration of
20 justice for all Americans(including Sharon Bridgewater, et al).

21 Mr. Holder has a legal duty and/or obligation to enforce civil rights laws and prosecute violators,
22 The Department of Justice Duties and/or obligations is to provide support to victims of crimes.

23 Holder oversees, the FBI, inspector General, etc. and is responsible and/or represented by ninety-
24 five U.S. attorneys, and/or is also responsible "for the actions" state, municipal, local law
25 enforcement agencies. The Department of Justice represents the U.S. government in legal
26 matters and courts of law, and renders legal advice and opinions upon request to the president
27 and to the heads of the executive departments. The Justice Department is headed by the attorney
28 general of the United States, the chief law enforcement officer of the federal government. Its
Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is the principle law enforcement body for federal crimes,
and its Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) administers immigration laws. A major
agency within the department is the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), which enforces
narcotics and controlled substances laws, and tracks down major illicit drug trafficking
organizations.

⁵ On various occasions I traveled back and forward from Georgia to California as time permitted.

1 In addition to giving aid and/or “giving orders” to local police forces, the department directs U.S.
2 district attorneys and marshals throughout the country, supervises federal prisons and other penal
3 institutions, and investigates and reports to the president on petitions for paroles and pardons.

4
5 I moved to Georgia, at all times was a participant in section 8 program, self sufficiency which is
6 a program of hud to help low income resident start business, and become financially indepent,. I
7 at all times mentioned had high self esteem, big dreams, was goal oriented and happy, and had a
8 beautiful relationship with friends and others. I was making money in five figures with Specialty
9 Investment Group, my first or second Real Estate transaction, and my companies were excelling.
10 I had started to receive Real Estate Joint from across American and international ventures
11 wanting to partner with my company. We all came up with the ideal to poole our resources
12 together, after making so much money, and incorporate the Real Estate Company with a Solar
13 Energy Company.

14 I then decided to expand my business to California, and were conducting business in the State of
15 Georgia, and/or throughout America.

16 I then flew to California and search for an apartment. I located a apartment. Hayes Valley
17 Limited Partership.

18 Hashiah Rashad then explained to me that the apartment were build from HOPE VI grant, which
19 provide for open competition, help get off public assistance. I explain to her. She told me the
20 program would help me and agreed that I could conduct business in Georgia, extended stay
21 while my son operated thea apartment.

22 I at entered into a lease agreement based on the condicions.

23 I Sharon Bridgewater, individually (James Bridgewater-listed as a household member) the
24 (Specialty Investment Group L.L.C. A dissolved Georgia Company, and Specialty Global
25 Investments Inc., a dissolved Nevada Corporation), Bridgewater & Company Inc. (minority
26 business Owners) entered into a Bridgewater (Specialty Investment Group LLC) the San
27 Francisco Housing Authority (SFHA) entered into a “three way” legally binding, HUD lease
28 agreement contract for the premise of 427 Page Street, San Franscico, CA 94102), a legally
binding lease agreement with Defendants JOHN DOE 8 unknown Director of the San Francisco
Housing/San Francisco Housing and/or Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employee of the San
Francisco Housing Authority (SFHA) Hayes Valley Limited Partnership (AKA, Hayes Valley
Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc. MBA Urban
Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica Affordable Housing,
at all times mentioned agreed on this terms and/or Roger and MaryTonna

1 I at all times mentioned had a legally, THE HUD CONTRACT IS BINDING AND RE-
2 NEW EACH MONTH with HVLP, Roger and/or Mary Tonna, – A 90 day notice of HUD
3 termination of tenancy PURSUANT TO FEDERAL AND/OR STATE LAW] Partnership Inc.,
Hasinah Rahim(HVLP)A US GOVERNMENT PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP
CONTRACT.

6 Hashiah Rashad, property manager Hayes Valley Limited Partnership(AKA, Hayes Valley
7 Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management Services Inc.
8 MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc. Sunamerica
9 Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., and/or Hasinah Rahim, knew and were aware I owed and
10 operated my own Real Estate Business, and knew and were are that James was studying to
11 become a license Real Estate Broker in the State of California, we were competitor and minority
12 business owners at all times mentioned had a valid lease agreement that renewed on a month to
13 month basis. And knew and were aware they entered into a HOPE VI contract to help the Plaintiff
14 compete in fair open competition, and/or Roger and Mary Tonna.

15 I then flew back to Georgia to conduct business while my son occupied the unit.

16 While in Georgia,and/or before entering into the contract with HVLP, An “unknown” Gwinnett
17 County Police Officer or Sherrieff, “allegedly” checked the Plates on the rental car, the vehical
18 was “listed as a stolen vehical.” The Officer asked questions about the car, and subsequently I
19 had rented the car in Michigan, and told the Officer that there had to be a mistake as to why the
20 vehical was reported stolen.

21 I then told him my automobile(s) had full coverage, and my insurance company was suppose
22 cover my rental car fee’s.(At the time I owned a 1999 Madza 626 and a 1998 Ford Ranger Truck
23 both were in a collusion, and/or needed repairs and/or unavailable and I had rental car coverage
24 pursuant to insurance).

25 Despite the explanation, the Gwinnett County Officer, arrested me, charged with theft by
26 receiving a stolen vehical, and detained me in the Gwinnett County Detention Center and my
27 bond was \$2,500.00. I then paid the bond and was release from jail.

28 I then requested the State of Georgia to appoint an Defense Attorney for me in the criminal case.

The State of Georgia then appointed Lucas Harsh as Defense Counsel.

1
2 I met with Lucas Harsh. He then questioned me about my life. I told him I had just relocated
3 from Michigan to Georgia and had just started a Real Estate Company and conducted instate
commerce. Lucas Harsh then asked me for money. I told him I did not have any money, due to
the recent moving cost, and the start of my business the Specialty Investment Group LLC, and/or
due to business expenses such as Office rents, etc.(Specialty Investment Group LLC conducted
business out of two Office Suite in Lawrenceville, GA).

6
7
8 Later, maybe a week or so went by, Lucas Harsh called me and told me to plea guilty to theft by
9 receiving a stolen vehical. He then "as defense counsel" pressured me to plea guilty to the of
theft by receiving.

10
11 I then told Lucas Harsh, there was no way that I would plea guilty to a crime I did commit. I
12 then subsequently told Harsh, that he acted like a "Prosecuting Attorney" instead of a defense
13 Attorney. I disagreed with Harsh on several occasions, and on two occasion argued with Harsh
14 about my defense. I subsequently told Lucas Harsh, that I did not want him to represent me as
defense counsel, and I then "fired" Harsh, and borrowed money and hired another attorney for
defense counsel(not of Gwinnett County).

15
16 The charge of theft by receiving of stolen vehical charge resulted in "DISMISSAL," (due to a
17 mix up with my insurance company with rental payments).

18
19 On or about Nov.20, 2005 or April, 12, 2006 or Oct. 30, 2007 and/or continuing thru present I
20 the Plaintiffs an African American small minority business owner, citizen of the United States of
21 America was conducting instate commerce and/or was driving my vehical(s)[Company Van,
22 Pontaic, Camero]normally and with the regular flow of traffic, minding my own business, not
engaged in any suspicion activity and had violated no traffic laws.

23
24 Two or more of these Defendants; came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful
25 agreement, (Defendants JOHN DOE 9 unknown employee of the San Francisco Housing
26 Authority in his official and/or in individual current or former capacity, Hayes Valley Limited
27 Partnership, (AKA, Hayes Valley Apartments II L.P.), McCormack Baron Ragan Management
28 Services Inc.MBA Urban Development Co., The Related Companies of California, Inc.
Sunamerica Affordable Housing Partnership Inc., Hasinah Rahim, Shawn Bankson, Jane
Creason, Kimball, Tirey & St. John, LLP, and/or Chandra Y. Schreinder #2491, Individually and
in her official and/or "former" capacity as arresting Officer of the DeKalb County, GA Police

Department, Officer Franklin Individually and in his/her official and/or “former” capacity as a DeKalb County, GA Police Officer, Detective George Individually and in her official and/or “former” capacity as Detective of the DeKalb County GA Police Department Lieutenant Hamilton Individually and in his/her official and/or “former” capacity as Lieutenant of the DeKalb GA County Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 13 unknown, DeKalb County GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or “former” capacities, Randy Rich, Individually and in his official capacity as the Superior Court Judge of Gwinnett County, Lucas O. Harsh, Rosanna Szabo Individually and in her official and/or “former” capacity as Gwinnett County Solicitor, Officer Hardin “former” Police Officer of the Lawrenceville Georgia Police Department, Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers in their individual and official and/or “former” capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 15 unknown Lawrenceville, GA Chief of Police he/she is sued in his/her current or “former” capacity as Chief of Police, Officer Caldwell Individually and in his official and/or “former” capacity as arresting Officer of the Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff Department and two or more of these state of Georgia, and/or State of California Defendants, Federal and/or State Official and/or Actor and/or joint participates with Federal and State Actors, came to the meeting of the mind, entered into an unlawful agreement, Acted under the color of Federal and/or Georgia State law, and/or California law initiated their police lights, and/or used excessive force(stopped the Plaintiffs at GUNPOINT) and made traffic stops on the Plaintiffs.

Exhibit “A” makes the following statement: “I observed a vehical had a drive out tag and then intitiated my light.

Exhibit “A” makes the following statement: Two Black male subjects.⁶

The Defendants actions constituted a State Action; and their actions were motivated by class, race and/or a discriminatory animus; and the defendants violated my US Constitutional 4th United States Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment or US Constitutional amendment right in the traffic stop, and my right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure 42 USC section 1985(3)/1983(18 U.S.C section 241/242),

It is unlawful for Police Officer, Sgts, etc. to enter into a conspiracy to deprive any person of the rights and privileges of a citizen. The Plaintiff had a right to drive her car and be free from discrimination.

The Plaintiff had a right to due process in the traffic stop. The Plaintiff had a right a fourth US Constitutional right to be free from “unreasonable searches and seizures. The Plaintiff had right

⁶ The Declarant “own” personal testimony, and Officer Schneider actions are clearly in violation of established law which permits a driver to drive with a drive out tag. (Berry vs. State of Georgia, 248 Ga. App. 874).

1 to be free from criminal trespass, invasion of privacy and a right to own and possess her business
2 and personal possession.

3 Officer Schriender et al, at all times mentioned had a duty and/or obligation to follow correct
4 police procedures in the traffic stop, not to discriminate against me based on race, class or
5 ethnicity, and breached their duty or obligation toward the Plaintiffs. A unconstituioanal traffic
6 stop, renders a ticket, or citiation invalid, or and this court will or declare any subsequent
7 conduct of the Officers based on an unconstituional traffic stop illegal and/or unconstitutional.

8
9
10 1. used threat, excessive force, coercion or force, intimidation, arrested
11 me without due process of law, unconstitutional search and seizure of
12 my vehical, and/or "body, without probable cause, and violated my 4th
13 United States Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment in the
14 search and seizure of my body(18 U.S.C section 241/242) and/or;

15 2. acted in joint participation with tow companies, took each and/or every
16 vehical I owned [Company Van, Camero, (the plaintiffs-Specialty
17 Investment Group LLC vehicals and with three stainless steel appliance
18 in the back of the Van] without probable and without due process of
19 law, and violated my 4th United States Constitutional Right via the 5th
20 or 14th amendment in the traffic stop, search and seizure of my body(18
21 U.S.C section 241/242) and/or;

22 3. That the defendant knowingly, intentionally arrest the plaintiffs,
23 unlawfully restrained the Plaintiffs victim of unlawful traffic stops, and
24 interfered substantially with the Plaintiffs liberty; without due process of
25 law and/or without probable cause, and violated my 4th United States
26 Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment in the traffic stop,
27 search and seizure of my body(18 U.S.C section 241/242) and/or;

28 1. and "put and/or posted" a mugshot (the plaintiffs-Specialty Investment
Group LLC)" libeled my fingerprints put my fingerprints and/or picture
in their police data base, and violated my 4th United States
Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment in the traffic stop,
search and seizure of my body(18 U.S.C section 241/242) and/or;

2. kicked the my door down,” entered my resident or place of business(Specialty Investment Group LLC) evaded my personal privacy, made a warrantless search, absent probable cause and/or exigent circumstances and “without consent, ” trespassed on lawfully lease or co-owed property without the right, and took my property (personal and business and of the Specialty Investment Group LLC, valuable Specialty Investment Contracts, Solar Energy Company ideals, contracts,computers intangible and/or tangible property) valued at more than \$5,000,and/or without my consent, and absent of “exigent circumstance.”
3. and issued six traffic violations, and/or other traffic citations without probable cause or due process of law and violated my 4th United States Constitutional Right via the 5th or 14th amendment in the traffic stop, search and seizure of my body(18 U.S.C section 241/242) and/or;
4. and/or set bail and/or personal recon. Bond.
5. initiated misdemoor State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater, and/or felony criminal proceeding of theft by taking charge and/or against me without probable cause.

While these above above events were taking in the State of Georgia, the follow in events were taking place in California during the same time frame:

6. HVLP, Shawn Bankson et al - and operating under the direction of Shawn Dovanan(Federal and/or State law) concealed known facts, they were under a duty to disclose to the Plaintiffs, sent via US Postal mail notice to pay rent or quit at 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA and;
7. Concealed known facts from, they were under a duty to disclose to the Plaintiffs, intiated an unlawful detainer complaint against the Plaintiffs non-payment of rent in the amount of \$749.00, on or about April 24, 2006 and for the possession of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA and;
8. Concealed known facts, they were under a duty to disclose to the Plaintiffs, obtain payments from the Plaintiffs, and/or the unauthorized

1 party living in the plaintiff household, from May 4, 2006 thru Nov.
2 2007 in the amount exceeding \$2,5000, and/or obtain possession of the
3 Plaintiff of 427 Page Street, San Francisco, CA via a legal document of
a Stipulated Judgment for possession of the Plaintiff apartment with an
unauthorized party and/or the Plaintiff's son and/or;

- 4
5
6 9. On or about Nov. 21, 2007 concealed known facts they were under a
7 duty to disclose to the Plaintiffs, falsified, or covered up by any trick,
8 scheme, or device a material fact, or made false, fictitious or fraudulent
9 statements or representations, or made or used false writing or a
10 document knowing it contained false, fictitious or fraudulent statement
11 or entry, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or while under a lawfully
12 administered oath or affirmation, testified falsely or made a deposition
13 or statement, in a judicial, legislative or administrative proceeding
14 under oath in a court of law and to the Superior Court Judge in
15 violation of Federal law and/or California State law, and obtained
16 possession of the Plaintiffs apartment of 427 Page Street, San
17 Francisco, CA 9410, and/or;

18 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants I was released from jail, in State
19 Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater, I
20 exercised my US Constitutional legal right, disputed all the charges, fought/litigated the case
21 myself for approx. one year. After a year the case was bound over to Superior Court of Gwinnett
22 County.

23 The time in fighting the case took away from my valuable time need to conduct my business the
24 Specialty Investment Group LLC, and I suffered damage in an amount to be proven at trial.

25 The case was then bonded over from the municipal court of Lawrenceville, GA, to the Gwinnett
26 County Superior Court. I then **demand a court appointed attorney and a jury trial.**

27 I was appointed an Attorney by the name of Jack Spence. Jack Spence counseled me and told
28 me to plea guilty to one or more of the crimes. I told Jack Spence I wanted and/or demanded a
jury trial. Jack Spence then told me he would inform me of court dates, etc. I never heard from
Jack Spence again regarding any future court dates.

1 On or about April/May 2006 I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) was
2 conducting interstate commerce, minding my own business and driving my car I had just
3 purchased. I was "stopped" again by a Gwinnett County Police Officer. The Officer asked for
my Drivers license, proof of insurance, etc.

5 I politely gave the Officer the information, and asked the Officer the reason for the traffic stop.
6 He responded and told me my tags were expired.

8 I explained to the Officers that I just had purchased the vehical and showed him proof of the new
9 purchase, and proof of insurance and/or all proof which permitted me to drive the
10 vehical(Georgia law permits a driver 21 days to obtain a plate).

11 The Gwinnett County Police Officer then "used police radio" "ran" my name through the
12 computer data base, and then instructed me to exit the vehical.

13 Subsequently the Gwinnett County Police Officer then told me I had a warrant for my arrest for
14 the failure to appear in court for the above six traffic violations and Superior Court of the State of
15 Georgia, State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon
Bridgewater. The Officer then physically restrained and/or arrested transported me to the
Gwinnett County Detention Center.

17 And despite my showing the Officer the proof as mentioned in the above sentence,The Officer
18 then issue two or three traffic citations, and then called a tow company and towed the vehical I
had just purchased.

20 The citations was issued on the State of Georgia, City of Lawrenceville, Gwinnett County,
21 Georgia

22 Any reasonable Officer would have have "not" issued traffic citations upon the showing of
23 proper proof of purchase, etc. Upon information and belief these citations were issued just for
24 the purpose to obtain money from the Plaintiffs without due process of law and/or these tickets
issued constitute a scheme to defraud the Plaintiffs.

26 I the Plaintiffs suffered from trauma, shock, flashbacks, post-tramatic stress syndrome, and
27 other mental ailments due to the arrest,and that which resemble as previous unconstitutional
28 traffic stop as mentioned with Officer Hardin and does defendants Lawrenceville, GA Police
Officers, was unable to operate my business/office for nine days, and suffered business loses,

1 lost of Real Estate Contracts, etc and suffered damages and I was injured in business or property
2 in the amount to be proven at trial.

3
4
5 I Plaintiffs Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC)was then detained in the
6 Gwinnett County Detention Center for nine days, restrained from freedom of movement,
7 deprived of my liberty, and against my will and without any legal representation for failure to
8 appear for the State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon
9 Bridgewater. On or about the 9th day, "Jack Spence," the State of Georgia court appointed
10 Attorney(my Defense) came to the Gwinnett County Detention Center and spoke with me
11 regarding the case.

12
13 Mr. Spence at that time told me to plea guilty to one or more of the charges. I told him I was not
14 going to plea to guilty. I then subsequently told him I wanted to go to trial and demanded a jury
15 trial.

16
17 Mr. Spence then, told me that it would take at least six to eight months for the case to be called
18 for jury trial. He then assured that I would "most likely" be convicted of one of more of the
19 crimes. Mr. Spence then subsequently gave me ultimatum, to either;

- 20 1) sit in jail approx. six to eight months and await for a trial of the above
21 Charges.
- 22 2) Pled guilty to one or more of the charges, State Court Accusation and case #
23 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater. and be released
24 from jail immediately.

25
26 I did not want to sit in jail for six to eight month and wait for a trial, so I **involuntary**
27 **pleaded guilty** to one or more of the above crimes.

28
29 Upon release from jail, I then immediately called the tow company to obtain my vehical
30 towed by the Gwinnett County Police Officer. The the towing and storage bill had
31 accumulated so high over nine days, and in amount I could not afford. I was unable to get
32 my newly purchased vehical out of tow, and lost my newly purchased vehical I had just
33 brought and was damaged and/or I was injured in business or property and was damaged in
34 unknown amount to be proven at trial.

1 I Sharon Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) was unable to conduct business for the
2 nine day I was detained in the Gwinnett County Detention center against my will, and lost
3 business, lost profits, in an undisclosed amount and I was injured and/or damage in business or
property and was damaged in unknown amount to be proven at trial.

4
5 On or about 2007, I the Plaintiffs at all times mentioned exercised my US Constitutional 1st
6 amendment right and/or other legal rights as guarenteed by the United States Constitution; filed
7 a petition with the court and requested Judge Rich to withdraw the plea. The petition I filed and
8 presented to Randy Rich was and on the basis that my plea was involuntary and/or coerced
and/or forced. I DEMANDED A JURY TRIAL in Superior Court of the State of Georgia, State
Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater. .

9
10 A true and correct copy of said document is attached as Exhibit "A" Register of court actions"
11 and incorporated by reference, as if set forth fully herein.

12
13 Based on the evidence that the I submitted to Randy Rich(State Court Judge). Randy Rich
14 granted my motion and withdrew my plea of guilty and/or set a Jury Trial date.

15
16 Randy Rich a Superior Court Judge for Gwinnett County located in Lawrenceville, GA holds
17 himself with a "high standard" as a Judicial Officer, and a representative for the State of Georgia
18 and/or an Public Servant or Officer of the court law to make fair, impartial decisions on behalf of
19 the State of Georgia. Rich, had a legal duty or obligation to perform his judicial duties and make
20 impartial decisions on behalf of the State of Georgia. Harsh, a defense attorney for the State of
21 Georgia, had a legal duty or obligation to represent and defend client to the best of his ability,
22 and not to use deceit or collusion. Szabo had a legal duty or obligation to comply with Federal
23 and State laws in the criminal prosecution of the Plaintiffs and not to use deceit or collusion.

24 **UNLAWFUL AGREEMENT OF RICH, HARSH AND SZABO**

25
26 On or about July thru and/or Sept. 18,2007, at the Gwinnett County Superior Court House in
27 Lawrenceville, GA, Randy Rich, a State of Georgia Official, Szabo, another State official
28 employed by the State of Georgia, and Harsh a contractor or employee of the State of Georgia,
came to the meeting of the minds, entered into an unlawful agreement, ratified,approved,
concealed known facts, had "secret" meetings, had two notice of conflict hearings,and/or other

1 meetings. Plaintiff are informed and believe that Harsh informed Rich of my disapproval of his
 2 representation in these notice of conflict meetings. Plaintiff allege and/or are inform and believe
 3 that Rich knew and were that the Plaintiff conducted business in the State of Georgia, and aware
 knew I disapproved, and/or would have fired Harsh in a previous criminal case. Rich acted
 under the color of Georgia State law acted outside his scope of authority, "ordered" the Plaintiffs
 was not able to speak, a "ordered" Harsh to represent the Plaintiff's in the criminal case of State
 Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater.

6 Rich's actions was a STATE ACTION, and Rich violated my first amendment right to free
 7 speech or my 6th via the 5th or 14th amendment and/or 42 USC section 1983(18 U.S.C section
 8 241 or 242), in the criminal case of State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of
 Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater.

9 Szabo and/or Harsh at all times mentioned knew and were aware that Rich had violated my US
 10 Constitutional rights, Harsh and/or Szabo at all times had a duty or obligation legal duty to report
 11 the crime of Randy Rich.

12 Harsh, and Szabo failure to report the crimes of Rich, actions constitute collusion, deceit, and/or
 13 joint participation with Rich, and their actions were the same as Rich. Harsh, Szabo, and Rich
 14 actions constituted a conspiracy under the color of law, and all Defendants violated my first
 amendment right to free speech or my 6th via the 5th or 14th amendment and/or 42 USC section
 15 1983/1985(3), in the criminal case of State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State
 of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater and their actions constituted a State Action their actions were
 16 motivated and/or a class or race based, indvidiously discriminatory animus was behind the Harsh,
 Rich, et al action, and/or constitute fraud on the court, and/or deceit, collusion and/or a violation
 17 of 18 USC section 371 and/or constituted retaliation the Plaintiffs a federal witness, in violation
 of 18 U.S.C. 1513.

18 All Defendants knowingly and willfully agreed, committed an act under the color of law and
 19 deprived, and/or violated the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater civil rights, in violation of 18
 20 U.S.C. section 242/ 241.

21
 22 I was unaware of these meeting, and the Defendants were under a duty to disclose to me these
 23 known facts, because I would have would have defended myself and/or borrowed money and
 24 hired an attorney.

25
 26 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement on or about _____ thru Sept. 18, 2007, the
 27 Defendants concealed these known facts from me, and/or Harsh concealed known facts that he
 28 was appointed my defense attorney State Court Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, State of
 Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater.

1 On or about Sept. 18, 2007, at approx. 3:30 PM Defendant Lucas Harsh, employed the federal
2 interstate wires originating within the state of Georgia , and terminating within the state of
3 Georgia defendants terminating in the State of Georgia to Complainant Sharon
Bridgewater(Specialty Investment Group LLC) and "called me via telephone," and said:

4 "meet me at 9:00 am in the morning for trial at 75 Langley Drive, Lawrenceville, GA 30045 in
5 Judge Randy Rich Courtroom."

6
7
8 On or about Sept. 19, 2007, I followed Harsh's instruction, and on Sept. 19, 2007 at
9 At approx. 9:00 AM, I met Harsh in Rich's Court room,at 75 Langley Drive, Lawrenceville, GA
10 30045, the Gwinnett County Superior Court in an "unknown" courtroom in State Court
11 Accusation and case # 06-D-03943-S2, and/or in Randy Rich's Courtroom; and all -
12 Hardin(Lawrenceville, GA Police Officers), Szabo, Rich, and Harsh, were talking together, in a
area away from me. I could not hear what they were discussing or talking about. Harsh then left
Rich, Hardin, et al, and approached me.

13
14 Harsh then told me, he was not going to represent me in the Jury Trial, and told me if I did not
15 plea guilty to two of the crimes of reckless driving and driving with no proof of insurance, I
16 would be convicted of all six counts of DUI, DUI, failure to maintain lane, etc.(I had no prior
17 discussions with Harsh, regarding any defenses, factual basis for plea, or "NO PRIOR
18 KNOWLEDGE, AND WAS TOTALLY IN THE BLIND OF EVERY THING,"and/or fired
Harsh in a previous case).

19 Plaintiffs is informed and believe Harsh's agreed, approved conspired with Rich, et al to use
20 threat, coercion or force. Harsh, et al actions constituted threats or force, coercion or force.

21
22 I felt trapped, helpless, oppressed, double, trepled teamed by the entired Gwinnett County and/or
23 State of Georgia, and unable to speak on my own behalf (see paragraph), and in fear of being
24 convicted of all six criminal charges, and I had no choice, and under duress, threat, or coercion,
25 I involunatry agreed to plea guilty to the two crimes of reckless driving and driving with no
proof of insurance.

26
27 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of Rich, Harsh, Officer Hardin a "former"
28 Lawrenceville Police Officer and Defendants JOHN DOE 14 unknown Lawrenceville ,GA
Police Officers, ON THE DAY OF THE JURY TRIAL, State Court Accusation and case # 06-

1 D-03943-S2, State of Georgia vs. Sharon Bridgewater, Rich et al, agreed prepared a disposition,
 2 and waiver of jury, the disposition(probation) of fines \$1080.00, and/or under duress, threat,
 3 force or coercion of Lucas Harsh, obtained my signature on an official legal document of a
 4 waiver of Jury trial, ordered me to pay to the Gwinnett County Professional Probation Services
 5 \$1,080.00, placed the Plaintiffs on Probation for five months restriced the Plaintiff(Sharon
 6 Bridgewater/Specialty Investment Group LLC)movements, and caused to be Georgia, a order of
 7 suspnsion of my Georgia license without due process of law,and/or a placed in a US Postal
 8 mail box and/or transmitted via wire(computer)(mail/wire fraud) to the Secretary of State of
 9 disposition ordering me to due labor at the Gwinnett County Detention Center.

10 I was unable to conduct business, drive and appraise properties, conduct business freely and/or
 11 manage properties for my business and lost business, and was libeled, as being arrested for
 12 “druken driver business owner” (in which upon any investigation of a person background would
 13 not want to be involve in business dealings) defamed, because of the defendants unlawful
 14 agreement, suffered from depression and I was injured in business or property in an amount to be
 15 proven at trial.

16 The Defendants actions constituted threat, force, violence, or fear, in violation of the Hobbs
 17 Act (18 U.S.C. § 1951) of obtaining of property or money from the Plaintiff under the consent
 18 of the Plaintiff and/or under color of official right and the defendants interfered with commerce
 19 by threats or violence obstructed, delayed, or affects commerce or the movement of any article
 20 or commodity in commerce, by robbery and/or physical violence in violation of 18 USC section
 21 1951, conspiracy and/or constituted a false imprisoned of the Plaintiffs and/or violation of
 22 section 18 U.S.C. section 1495 (unjust correction and imprisonment)and/or Georgia State law,
 23 and/or;

24 The Defendants agreed and both individually and/or severally and/or jointly, knowingly and
 25 willfully influenced, delayed, or prevented the testimony of the Plaintiff in the Jury Trial and/or
 26 official proceeding in violation of 18 USC section 1512 and/or and the defendants did acts or
 27 omissions in furtherance of the objective of the conspiacy to halt the ability of the Plaintiffs to
 28 report the crimes.

29 The Defendants actions were without probable cause, and act under the color of Georgia State
 30 law, and their actions consituted a State Action and/or his action were movitated by class, race or
 31 discriminatory animus, conspiracy under the color of law, and all defendants violated my US
 32 Constitutional right to to free speech, my 6th amendment right to effective counsel, via the 5th
 33 or 14th amendment in the 42 USC section 1985/1983(18 U.S.C section 241/242), deprive the
 34 Plainitff her right to a jury trial, and/or right to confront accusers, and violated the 13th US
 35 Amendment Constitution and subjected me to slavery and peonage, and their actions also
 36 constituted a scheme to defraud the US and/or the Plaintiffs out of money and/or property.
 37 Rich actions constitute treason, fraud on the court, abuse of the Gwinnett County Superior Court, abuse of the US Government,
 38 Violation of Oath of Office/Corruption, and conspiracy as defined in 18 usc section 371.

1
2 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement Rich, et al obtain "undisclosed" amounts of money
3 from the Plaintiffs in connection with the void disposition, and the defendants did overt act
and/or omission to further the objective of the conspiracy to halt the Plaintiffs ability to report
the crimes and defraud the plaintiffs and/or the US out of money or property and did acts or
omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

6 In futherance of the unlawful agreement of the et al Defendants, plaintiffs are informed and
7 belief that on or about Oct. 19, 2007 Rich, et al, acted in joint participation with Caldwell,a
8 Gwinnett County Police Officer, to make an unconstitutional traffic stop, falsely arrest the
Plaintiff and subject the Plaintiff to more peonage and slavery.

10 On or about Oct. 19, 2007, , after I had "completed" free labor, and after I left the Gwinnett
11 County Detention, Caldwell a Gwinnett county Police Officer, adopted the acts of Hardin, et al
as mentioned in the above paragraph _____ issued traffic citations that not listed in the
12 Georgia code of notation, the acts of Hardin, et al as mentioned in the above
paragraph _____ Officer Caldwell did adopt the acts of the Defendants and did
13 committed issue the Planitff a traffic citation that's not in Georgia code of notation, and did
14 overt acts or omission in furtherance of the objective of the conspiracy.

16 The Defendants caused the Plaintiff damage and caused the Plaintiff horrific mental and/or
17 psycholical damage and/or caused damage to the Plaintiff business or property and the Plaintiffs
have damages according to proof at trial.

18
19 In furtherance of the agreement Oct. 30, 2007 thru April 2009(ONE YEAR AND A
HALF),Officer Schriender, Dective George, et al, Dekalb County, knowingly, intentionally kept
20 the theft by taking charges(crime they committed against the complaintant) open and pending
against the Plaintiffs without the initition of criminal proceedings, presentment to a Grand Jury,
21 and/or initition of process any criminal process. and dismiss the charges, and the proceeding
ended in the Plaintiffs favor. During this time the Plaintiffs were unable to report the crime to a
22 federal judge because the charges were open and pending against the Plaintiffs and did overt acts
or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy.

24
25 The Defendants actions constitute an indictable offense as defined in 18 USC section 1512 in
26 that they hindered, delayed, or prevented the Plaintiffs from communicating to a law
enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or of
27 a Federal offense of the theft of my business and personal property; and the defendants did acts
or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy to halt the Plaintiffs to report the crimes;

1 In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the Defendants, On or about Nov. 30, 2007 and
 2 continuing thru the filing of this complaint Rich, et al, falsified, concealed or covered up by any
 3 trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or made false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or
 representations, or made or used false writing or document knowing the same to contain any
 false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001, obtained arrest
 warrant(s) for the Plaintiff .

4 Plaintiff allege the warrant(s) was obtained not for the purpose of criminal prosecution but for
 5 private purposes and to extort money from the Plaintiffs and/or return the Plaintiffs to peonage
 6 or slavery. Rich et al then employed the federal mails and/or federal interstate wires and/or
 7 foreign international wires to the United States Department of Justice, and/or and the United
 8 States Department of Justice Eric Holder Jr., United States Attorney General, Defendants JOHN
 DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S.
 9 government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former "capacities, Defendants
 10 JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)in their official
 11 personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant
 12 United States Attorney General(s), in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities,
 13 Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s), "issued " two or more
 14 arrest warrants for the Plaintiff name Sharon Bridgewater and/or "put" the Plaintiffs name in the
 National Crime Data Base as "WANTED CRIMINAL" in a National Criminal Data(NCIC) did
 15 overt acts or omissions to further the objective of the conspiracy; and libeled, defamed the
 Plaintiffs, and caused the Plaintiff mental and/or psychological damage and/or caused damage to the
 Plaintiff business or property and the Plaintiffs have damages according to proof at trial.

16 **HOLDER, DEKALB COUNTY ET AL ILLEGALLY KEEPS THE SPECIALTY**
 17 **INVESTMENT AND/OR THE PLAINTIFFS BUSINESS PROPERTY**

18 On or about from April 2009, and continuing thru the date of the filing of this complaint, the
 19 Plaintiffs at all times mentioned, had a right to my business and personal property, and/or to
 20 taken and to conduct interstate commerce, and On or about Nov. 1, 2007 and continuing thru the
 21 filing of this complaint Plaintiffs have repeatedly asked Dekalb County, Eric Holder(FIVE
 22 YEARS), and/or two or more of the Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the
 Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S. government in their official personal capacity
 23 and/or personal "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the
 Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)in their official personal capacity and/or "former
 24 "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s), in
 25 their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown
 US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s). and/or requested and demanded the return of the Specialty
 Investment Group LLC property, Specialty Global Investments Inc. and/or Bridgewater &
 Company INC. and property valued over \$5,000.00. (FIVE YEARS), to continue to conduct
 26 business.
 27
 28

On or about from April 2009, and continuing thru the date of the filing of this complaint the Plaintiffs have reported the crimes of Dekalb County and/or Randy Rich et al to the Department of Justice Eric Holder Jr., United States Attorney General, Defendants JOHN DOE 1 unknown employees of the Executive Branch and other agencies of the U.S. government in their official personal capacity and/or personal "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 2 are unknown agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation(FBI)in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 3 unknown Assistant United States Attorney General(s), in their official personal capacity and/or "former "capacities, Defendants JOHN DOE 4 unknown US "State" Attorney (ies) General(s), and have continuously requested for the arrest warrant to be depleted from the NCIC data system .

In furtherance of the unlawful agreement of the defendants. On or about from April 2009 thru filing of this complaint each Defendant have constantly ignored the Plaintiffs and did overt acts to further the objective of the conspiracy.

Holder et al is responsible for the maintenance of the NCIC data base, and the Plaintiffs allege that et al knew and were aware that the Plaintiff were a victim of RICO activities and/or of a crime, and knowingly, intentionally failed to delete the arrest warrants out of the NCIC data base , and have knowingly intentionally deprive the Plaintiffs of her business and/or personal property and have interfered with the Plaintiffs "livelihood" and did overt acts and/or objectives to further the conspiracy.

Holder et al knowingly and willfully, and intentionally holds the Sharon Bridgewater by an arrest warrant with the intent of the Complainant to return the Complainant Sharon Bridgewater to a condition of peonage, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1581 Peonage.

Holder et al actions constitute an indictable offense; - racketeering across state lines, in violation of the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act("RICO") at 18 U.S.C. 1962

Holders et al actions constitute a conspiracy to restrain commerce, and retaliation against federal witness, victim, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1513; and an intentional act to deprive the Plaintiff the right to honest service and a violation of 18 USC section 1346. Holder et al did overt acts, and omission to further the objective of the conspiracy.

- a. All Defendants Holder, Rich, Szabo, et al and those operating under the direction of Gwinnett County, Dekalb County and/or the DOJ, agreed, received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code, used or invested, directly or indirectly, part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment of the Gwinnett County Detention Center(a private jail facility-Private Contractors of the US Government, State of Georgia, or Dekalb County

or the DOJ) and/or Professional Probation Services, Gwinnett County Courts, Dekalb County or the DOJ and/or Lance Towing, and/or other towing companies, and etc. and/or are private contractors of the US Government, State of Georgia, and/or the Federal Government that hold legal or equitable in property and interest in the operation of, the enterprise and their which is activities of which affected, interstate or foreign commerce and is a Rico Enterprize as defined in 18USC section 1964.

- b. All Defendants Holder, Rich, Szabo, et al and those operating under the direction of Gwinnett County, Dekalb County and/or the DOJ agreed, received any income derived agreed, through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquired or maintained, directly or indirectly, controled and/or had interest in the enterprise which is engaged in, the activities that affect, interstate or foreign commerce.
- c. All Defendants Holder, Rich, Szabo, et al and those operating under the direction of Gwinnett County, Dekalb County and/or the DOJ were employed by or associated with the enterprise that engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.
- d. All Defendants Holder, Rich, Szabo, et al and those operating under the direction of Gwinnett County, Dekalb County and/or the DOJ conspire to violate the above (a), (b), or (c).

The Plaintiff have been injured, damage in person business or property and have damages to be proven at trial.

Defendants JOHN DOE 13 is unknown Lawrenceville ,GA Chief of Police in his/her current or "former" capacity as Chief of Police in his/her individual and official or "former "capacity is the final decision maker for any policy and procedure, of Hardin and Does Defendants Lawrenceville Georgia Police officer and is responsible for their actions.

Defendants JOHN DOE 14 is unknown "Chief of Police of Gwinnett County, GA Police or Sherriff" Department in his/her individual and official or "former "capacity in his/her individual and official or "former "capacity is the final decision maker for any policy and procedure, of Officer Caldwell actions and is responsible for their actions.